

SAFEGUARDING SUB (COMMUNITY & CHILDREN'S SERVICES) COMMITTEE

Tuesday, 6 February 2018

Present

Members:

Randall Anderson (Chairman)	Dhruv Patel
Ruby Sayed (Deputy Chairman)	Deputy Elizabeth Rogula
Deputy Joyce Nash	

Officers:

Chris Pelham	-	Community and Children's Services
Pat Dixon	-	Community and Children's Services
Ria Lane	-	Community and Children's Service
Glory Nyero	-	Community and Children's Services
Elizabeth Malton	-	Community and Children's Services
Julia Hodson	-	Community and Children's Services
Theresa Shortland	-	Community and Children's Services
Julie Mayer	-	Town Clerk's

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Marianne Fredericks who was engaged in City of London Corporation Business, on a Governors' Training Session.

2. MEMBERS' DECLARATIONS UNDER THE CODE OF CONDUCT IN RESPECT OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

There were no declarations.

3. MINUTES

The public minutes and non-public summary of the meeting held on 27 September 2017 were approved.

Matters arising

In respect of a query at the last meeting about use of cameras by carers, the Assistant Director advised that this would be reviewed as part of the Carers' Strategy.

4. HANDCUFFING OF JUVENILE STATISTICS

Members received a report of the Commissioner, City of London Police, following concerns raised by Members of both the Police and Safeguarding Sub Committees that the City of London Police might be using excessive force on juveniles when compared to other forces; specifically, the Metropolitan Police and Cambridgeshire.

Members noted that the figures previously presented showed different aspects and therefore should not have been directly compared. Further comparable data has since been acquired, and presented in this report, and Members were

satisfied that it demonstrated how the City of London Police were performing in line with these other forces.

In response to questions, Members noted that a senior officer visited vulnerable detainees to check on their welfare and the Stop and Search/Use of Force Working Group met monthly. Both the Metropolitan and Cambridgeshire Police had been informed of the new data and the Chief Inspector advised that it was very difficult to standardise different forces, particularly one as different as Cambridgeshire. Members also noted that taser use fell within Use of Force, under a separate set of statistics, which were reported annually to the Police Committee. The Chief Inspector advised that there had been no incidents of taser use on under 18s in the City of London.

RESOLVED, that - the report be noted.

5. **SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN'S BOARD ANNUAL REPORT**

Members received a report of the Independent Chairman of The City and Hackney Safeguarding Board which provided a transparent assessment of the effectiveness of safeguarding and the promotion of child welfare across the City of London and the London Borough of Hackney.

What would the Board regard as the strengths of local City safeguarding systems and priorities for next year?

Whilst their research had captured a lot of intelligence, the Board felt that strong leadership; workforce; caseloads and an appetite to learn were the best indicators. The City of London Corporation performed very well across all these areas; particularly their appetite to learn from their work with Hackney. Next year's business plan would seek to prioritise a safer, healthier work place (to include a staff survey); vulnerable adolescents (beyond child sexual exploitation); special educational needs and disability (SEND) and early intervention (with extra scrutiny in this area to prevent concerns escalating).

The Chairman of the Community and Children's Services Committee commended the City and Hackney Safeguarding Board for being the first in the UK to receive an Outstanding Judgement.

In response to further questions:

Following the Wood Review; Members noted that, if a Board was working well, it would be 'business as usual'; whilst continuing to seek streamlining and efficiencies. Members noted that, following the abolition of Local Safeguarding Children's Boards (LSCBs), some restructuring would be likely but key partnerships would continue.

Members noted that a report on case C would be published in the next couple of weeks. As this was likely to encourage stronger community safeguarding, the Board was suitably prepared as it had established a Community Sub

Group. Statutory Guidance to Schools was expected in respect of keeping children safe in schools; i.e. the provision of alternative emergency contact numbers.

Members were very concerned about the predicted number of children in poverty (5 million by 2020) and officers explained how austerity in local authorities often affected non-statutory, early intervention initiatives. Members understood that, whilst numbers in the City were low, an influx of 2 or 3 could cause a proportionate increase.

RESOLVED, that - the report be noted.

6. **THE CITY AND HACKNEY SAFEGUARDING ADULTS BOARD (CHSAB) ANNUAL REPORT 2016/17**

Members received a report of the Director of Community and Children's Services in respect of the Statutory Annual Report of the City and Hackney Safeguarding Adults Board, which was presented by the Independent Chair of the CHSAB and the Interim CHSAB Manager. Members were asked to note the headlines in respect of excellent partnership work on financial abuse and social isolation. There had been 4 Safeguarding Adult Reviews (SARs) in the last year, but none for City residents, and they had cross cutting themes which had provided valuable learning. The Independent Chair was very committed to driving improvements in multi-agency practices and work with the voluntary sector would also expand over the next year.

Recent reports have indicated that there is an increase in elder abuse for people living in supported living; has this been an issue for City residents and, if so, what action has been taken to address it?

There has been a shift in adult social care over the past 10 – 15 years, as more elder persons remained in their own homes. This has been reflected in the location of abuse. Abuse in supported housing settings is not a specific issue for the City of London Corporation, however, recent reports had highlighted data which would be useful in future research.

Are you assured, as the Independent Chair of the CHSAB, that safeguarding adults arrangements are robust in the City? Is there anything that the City could do more or less of to ensure adults are safeguarded.

Members noted that the self-Audit process would be more challenging this year, seeking assurance on reflection, self-scrutiny and learning. The Independent Chair has experience of scrutinising a range of practices, both good and bad, and had always found the City to be particularly robust. Members noted a common issue across London in respect of capability in mental capacity, but the City of London Corporation was an example of best practice.

Some of us attended the Financial Abuse Seminar held here in the City in December. Is this issue going to continue to be a priority for the CHSAB? What

are the other priorities for the CHSAB that will support improved safeguarding arrangements in the City.

The Independent Chair congratulated the City Corporation on the success of the seminar and was keen to see the next steps extending beyond professionals and into raising public awareness. The Independent Chair confirmed that she would support this work stream as a City priority. Delivery plan priorities for this year (2017/18) had been agreed to address the biggest issues facing the City and Hackney and were included in the 2016/17 Annual Report.

RESOLVED, that - the report be noted.

7. INDEPENDENT REVIEWING OFFICER (IRO), ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2016 TO 2017

Members received a report of the Director of Community and Children's Services which provided an overview of the Independent Review Service in the City of London Corporation, covered in the IRO Annual Report for 2016-2017. Members welcomed the new, permanent IRO to the City Corporation and recognised the work of the previous IRO, which had been evident from Ofsted Inspections, particularly involving young people in their reviews.

Your report identifies the need for the Reviewing Service and the Department to improve the way that young people should be engaged and involved in their reviews. Can you say what work has been undertaken in this area to drive up standards regarding consultation and engagement.

A new device based application called Mo Mo (Mind of my Own) provides access to 10 different professionals; i.e. Social Worker and Virtual Head Teacher, offering advice on preparing for meetings. Whilst encouraging improvements in English, it is also available in a range of languages. The Children in Care Council were very supportive of this app; supported by face to face contacts. Preparation time before Review Meetings has increased, with young people encouraged to set their own agendas. Meeting times themselves been reduced to 40 minutes and there was a new, less formal template which would be shared with Safeguarding Sub Committee Members.

Given one of the IRO responsibilities is to ensure that the young people have a Care Plan in place, which is on track and meeting the outcomes within it, how does the role interface, not just with social care but also health and education partners, to ensure the outcomes are being met?

There are regular meetings between the Virtual Head Teacher and Health and Education Partners.

What role does the IRO have in supporting transition arrangements for Children in Care when they become Care Leavers?

The IRO considers the post care pathway plan 6 months before the last LAC Review. Post 18-19 year olds are offered a further review, if they feel they

would like one, but this is not statutory. Members noted that the IRO is not engaged post 19.

In response to a further question about the immigration status of asylum seekers, Members noted that the entire service was dedicated to this cohort but there were considerable delays in Home Office processing. However, the City Corporation Social Workers had become very experienced in Home Office procedures and were planning to work with other agencies who could assist. The IRO offered to report to a future Sub Committee on this work.

RESOLVED, that – the report be noted.

8. **REPORT ON AN EXPLORATION OF HOW SOCIAL WORKERS ENGAGE NEGLECTFUL PARENTS FROM AFFLUENT BACKGROUNDS IN THE CHILD PROTECTION SYSTEM**

Members received a report of the Director of Community and Children's Services in respect of the findings of research into neglect linked to affluence. The Assistant Director advised that he had recently attended a seminar with 200 practitioners, which clearly showed an appetite to address this issue.

Can you say more about how this research will directly impact on what our social workers will be doing in the future when working with affluent families?

Officers from both the City of London Boys' and Girls' Schools had served on an experts' panel to consider and contribute to the research report. Affluent families were likely to engage Lawyers at an earlier stage and parents/carers were often only willing to engage with managers and not practitioners. At a local level, the learning will feed into CHSCB Training on neglect and the Children Social Care Service will consider the key messages in helping to inform their response to this issue. The Assistant Director had advised the Communications Director about this work as there has been some regional and national interest in the report.

RESOLVED, that- the report be noted.

9. **SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL NEEDS AND DISABILITY (SEND) UPDATE**

Members received a report of the Director of Community and Children's Services which provided an update on work undertaken with children and young people, with special educational needs and disability (SEND), in the City of London. Members noted the dominance of autism and a recent successful event at Artizan Street Library, run by the City's parent/carer group.

It is evident that much progress is being made in supporting children and families with special education needs and disabilities. It is good to note that we have converted all SEN plans to EHC Plans. Are you satisfied that the quality of the EHC plans are good?

All children with SEN in the City have Education and Health Care Plans (EHC), with the exception of 1 very new arrival. In fact, some are now on second year

plans, which provides an opportunity to review against year one. A new network has been established for Special Educational Needs coordinators (SENCO) in schools. This group will continue to meet each term and develop a network for developing best practise across schools in the City.

How do you ensure that the voice of the parents AND the children feed into the individual plans and the strategic work?

The young person/child's family are encouraged contribute and set out their aspirations and the plans are central to education and health care provision. All current plans have been assessed as being fit for purpose but there is room for improvement in healthcare support pathways. John Cass School has a network for parents in the process of receiving plans. There are further ambitions to build a network for children, young people and parents, within the limitation of the City's low population; the Artizan Street event being a good example.

Are we satisfied with Health's engagement in the SEND agenda?

Work is ongoing into health pathways which can inconvenience families; i.e. data sharing and simplifying access.

In response to further questions, Members noted that record keeping for short breaks was improving. Parents/carers are keen to have more weekend activities using community spaces and they have some very good ideas. All City Children with SEN plans had been referred to their GPs and officers agreed to advise Members of the exact numbers.

RESOLVED, that – the report be noted.

10. **FINANCIAL ABUSE UPDATE**

Members received a report of the Director of Community and Children's Services which provided an update on work undertaken by the Financial Abuse Task and Finish Group; the second most prevalent cause of safeguarding alerts in the City of London. Members noted that the Group had been running for a year.

The seminar in December on Financial Abuse was attended by professionals and agencies from the City and surrounding areas. Are there plans to do something to raise awareness of this issue directly with residents?

This will be an ongoing project and a communications plan is in place to encourage engagement from residents. There are also plans to run short seminars at the Estates. Trading Standards had been trying to engage with the Banks but, as progress had been slow, Members suggested that this be actioned at a higher level within the City of London Corporation.

RESOLVED, that – the report be noted.

11. **QUESTIONS OF MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE**

There were no questions.

12. **ANY OTHER BUSINESS THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT**

There were no items.

13. **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC**

RESOLVED - That under Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of the Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act.

Item

14-21

Paragraph

1,2 and 3

14. **NON-PUBLIC MINUTES**

The non-public minutes of the meeting held on 27 September 2017 were approved.

15. **CHILDREN IN CARE ANNUAL REPORT 2016-2017**

Members received a report of the Looked After Children's Health Team.

16. **CHILDREN'S SAFEGUARDING REPORT FOR QUARTER TWO 2017/18**

Members received a report of the Director of Community and Children's Services.

17. **REPORT ON CARE QUALITY COMMISSION (CQC) INSPECTION OF CHILDREN LOOKED AFTER AND SAFEGUARDING IN CITY OF LONDON**

Members received a report of the Chief Officer, City and Hackney Clinical Commissioning Group, in respect of Section 48 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008, which permits CQC to review the provision of healthcare and the exercise of functions of NHS England and Clinical Commissioning Groups.

18. **ANNUAL REPORT VIRTUAL SCHOOL HEADTEACHER ACADEMIC YEAR 2016/17**

Members received a report of the Director of Community and Children's Services.

19. **ADULT SAFEGUARDING PERFORMANCE REPORT**

Members received a report of the Director of Community and Children's Services.

20. **NON-PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE**

There were no questions

21. **ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT AND WHICH THE COMMITTEE AGREE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHILST THE PUBLIC ARE EXCLUDED**

There were no items.

The meeting closed at 3.30pm

Chairman

Contact Officer: Julie Mayer
tel. no.: 020 7332 1410
julie.mayer@cityoflondon.gov.uk