

PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE – OUTSTANDING ACTIONS

Item	Date	Action	Officer responsible	To be completed/ progressed to next stage	Progress Update
1.	9 January 2018 23 January 2018 26 March 2018 8 May 2018 29 May 2018 10 July 2018 26 July 2018 11 Sept 2018 8 Oct 2018	<p>Ludgate Circus</p> <p>The Director of the Built Environment advised that an additional letter would be prepared as a matter of urgency, and gave her assurance that the issue would be treated as a priority.</p>	Steve Presland / Ian Hughes	Further meeting to be scheduled in DECEMBER 2018/early 2019 following installation of cycle SCOOT.	<p>Completed – Letter sent on 9 January and circulated to Members on 10 January.</p> <p>Meeting between Chairman, Deputy Chairman and TfL representatives took place on Tuesday 23rd January to discuss this issue.</p> <p>The meeting between TfL and CoL safety officers to conduct H7S audit (informal) needs to take place prior to committee and the data exchange be completed.</p> <p>Data was exchanged, and CoL have provided written comments back to TfL on their data just before the Easter break. We would expect TfL to respond within the next two weeks.</p> <p>Following a further meeting with TfL in the following</p>

					<p>actions were agreed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• TfL Road Safety Auditor to produce collision 'stick diagrams' for the Ludgate Circus junction to identify any prominent accident types.• CoL requested official TfL presence from Enforcement Team to assist in undertaking cycle behaviour training at the junction.• CoL highlighted that it would be beneficial to understand the number of informal / formal movements being undertaken at the junction and by what mode e.g. pedestrian, cycle, car. This will require surveys being conducted on the Ludgate Circus junction. Furthermore, as there is no data prior to the construction of this junction a secondary junction of similar nature will be required to be surveyed
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>to provide a comparison. TfL to investigate practicality and cost of surveys and report back.</p> <p>Members informed at 8 Oct meeting that updates had been received from TfL on their actions as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Collision diagrams had been provided and these highlighted that the main cause was left turning vehicles in conflict with pedestrians crossing informally.• TfL agreed to allow the City to use their Enforcement Team to assist in undertaking cycle behaviour training at the Ludgate Circus Junction.• TfL agreed to look in to the cost of surveys on the junction and report back.• A review of signal timings at the junction would take place in the next few months.
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>City Officers to continue to press TfL to identify ways in which these informal crossing routes could be made safer and more pedestrian friendly.</p> <p>UPDATE: TfL have suggested that due to budget constraints, doing an informal crossing analysis at Ludgate Circus would need a solid business case.</p> <p>Since it is the City community that is at risk, we are looking at opportunities to deliver this ourselves.</p> <p>The City recently used camera analysis that can be programmed to identify different road users for a behaviour change study at Queen Street. Officers are planning to deploy similar technology at a busy pedestrian crossing to get some data about the causes of pedestrian inattention.</p> <p>In light of TfL's response, we are therefore proposing to use this technology at Ludgate Circus.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>The details over where the cameras can be mounted are being worked on.</p> <p>We continue to keep in touch with TfL officers about the junction</p>
2.	<p>9 January 2018 23 January 2018 20 February 2018 26 March 2018 8 May 2019 29 May 2018 10 July 2018 26 July 2018 11 Sept 2018 8 Oct 2018</p>	<p>Lane Rental</p> <p>In response to a question concerning 'lane rental', officers advised that the Government was currently consulting on this initiative and undertook to report back to the Committee following the outcome of this.</p>	Ian Hughes	DECEMBER COMMITTEE	<p>The consultation has now closed and DfT are analysing the feedback. As a minimum, they will need to publicise a decision before the current Lane Rental trials with TfL and Kent County Council expire in March 2019.</p> <p>UPDATE: DfT have now published their guidance on such schemes – Officers to report in Dec on the implications.</p>
4.	<p>9 January 2018 20 February 2018 26 March 2018 29 May 2018 10 July 2018 26 July 2018 11 Sept 2018 8 Oct 2018</p>	<p>Blackfriars Bridge Underpass</p> <p>A Member expressed concern regarding the poor state of the underpass at Blackfriars Bridge and asked who was responsible for the cleaning and maintenance of it.</p>	Steve Presland	ONGOING	<p>A detailed response was sent to the Member on 09/01/2018.</p> <p>The City are Monitoring it, increasing inspections, scheduled and adhoc cleaning as required is now in place.</p> <p>Put a request in with TfL with a view to arranging a site meeting to agree an allocate clear responsibilities and</p>

		<p>Officers advised that there were overlapping responsibilities between the CoL and TfL and discussions were taking place with TfL to address the problem.</p> <p>A Member questioned why Transport for London were reluctant to allow the CoL Corporation to take over responsibility for the underpass and asked if officers had engaged at a senior level.</p> <p>Members expressed concern at the state of the underpass and the fact that people were likely to try and cross the road as an alternative to using it which was extremely dangerous.</p>			<p>explore CoL taking over TfL responsibilities. Officers undertook to report back on the options available.</p> <p>Members noted Officers had reached an agreement with TfL who had agreed to replace the tiles in the Blackfriars Bridge underpass and review the lighting there. Officers would undertake a deep cleanse of the underpass and invite local ward Members and the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the Port Health and Environmental Services Committee to visit. Members could then consider whether a programme for routine deep cleansing of the underpass would be feasible going forward.</p> <p>Members were informed that TfL had undertaken tar repairs in the underpass and that a deep clean of the area had been undertaken by City Highways. TfL had undertaken to look at the issue of rough sleeping here alongside the City's outreach team.</p>
--	--	---	--	--	---

					<p>UPDATE: Officers met with Ward Member representative and Chairman of Port Health and Environmental Services on 26 October to review the effectiveness of action taken to improve the subway cleanliness. TfL are responsible for the fabric of the subway and the Corporation for general cleaning.</p> <p>Following officer engagement with TfL they have now repainted much of the subway, replaced much of the lighting with LED units and carried out repairs to tiling. The subway gulleys have been cleared and are now working effectively. In general it was felt that the subway appeared brighter and cleaner although some further improvements are needed and officers have written to TfL seeking their agreement to action these.</p> <p>In discussion with Members present it was felt that the improvements achieved to date were such that this item now be closed and future actions addressed as 'business as usual' with the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					Chairman of PHES and Ward Members being kept appraised of progress.
5.	26 March 2018 9 May 2018 29 May 2018 10 July 2018 26 July 2018 11 Sept 2018 8 Oct 2018	<p>Wind Measurement on Tall Buildings</p> <p>Question – when will the promised "before and after construction" wind measurements on 20 Fenchurch St be made available.</p> <p>Officers advised that a number of extra trees had been planted outside 20 Fenchurch Street and agreed to produce a full report in due course of relevant and predicted readings.</p>	Gwyn Richards	December 2018	UPDATE: A report to be brought to the December meeting of the Committee.
6.	26 July 2018 11 Sept 2018 8 Oct 2018	<p>Fumes</p> <p>A Member recognised that where there were occasions when the design of a particular building indicated ground level kitchen vents, planning conditions on how any smells would be dealt with were typically placed on these. However, he felt that there were occasions where</p>	David Horkan/Rachel Pye	November 2018	Planning and Environmental Health to produce a report to Committee on the standards and procedures for dealing with the extraction of fumes to restaurants & cafes?

		smells still caused a problem despite this and questioned whether, in such circumstances, those conditions were checked and / or how did Officers enforce them?			
--	--	---	--	--	--