

<b>Committee(s):</b>	<b>Date(s):</b>
Safer City Partnership	31 May 2018
<b>Subject:</b> Community Safety Team Update	
<b>Report of:</b> Manager, Community Safety Team <b>Report Author:</b> David Mackintosh, Manager, Community Safety Team	<b>For Decision</b>
<b>Summary</b>	
To update SCP members on activity by the Community Safety Team not otherwise addressed	
<b>Recommendation(s)</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Members are asked to note the report.</li> <li>2. Members views are sought in relation to the potential use of POCA funding.</li> <li>3. Agreement is sought for the attached Information Sharing Agreement.</li> </ol>	

### **Summary**

This report updates Members of the activities, not otherwise addressed in the agenda, of the Community Safety Team.

### **Prevent**

1. There have been no Channel referrals relating to City residents this period. An active programme of community engagement has been undertaken at a range of locations. Prevent awareness sessions have been delivered to a number of departments within the Corporation and WRAP sessions were delivered to a major company that provides cleaning services in the square mile. Sessions have also been delivered to new police recruits and new joiners at the City

Corporation. In all cases the response from the public and partner agencies has been very positive.

2. The internal campaign for Prevent ran over March, which featured posters and table talkers. The Prevent e-module is now live with a mandatory roll out expected to commence shortly (it was delayed due to other mandatory roll outs of Line Manager's Guide to Mental Health and GDPR).

### **City Community Multi-Agency Risk Assessment Conference (CCM)**

3. The Community MARAC remains a vehicle for raising issues of vulnerability and repeat offences/offenders. It provides an important mechanism for protecting City residents experiencing ASB or other crime and we encourage referrals.
4. Since the last SCP meeting the CST team has received two Community Trigger requests, both related to rough sleeping, however only one reached the threshold of becoming a trigger. The CCM made recommendations to both cases, which highlighted the complexities and the potential benefits of partnership working. In both cases, however, it has proved beneficial in bringing together the various agencies and departments together in terms of improving the picture and understanding of the individuals concerned and the associated activities and risks. Further details on the Community Trigger requests is included within the substantive item on Anti-Social Behaviour.

### **Domestic Abuse**

5. During the period February 2018 to May 2018 there were 2 high risk cases referred to the City of London MARAC. Both cases were managed efficiently with one of the cases resulting in a custodial sentence of 18 months and the other resulting in an injunction excluding the perpetrator from a certain area.

### **Resident Engagement**

6. The annual City-wide residents meeting was held on the 9 May. The meeting allows the SCP to deliver its statutory duty to host a public Q&A session and consult with the community. This year the Commissioner of City of London Police, Ian Dyson, was on the panel and the Community Safety team also had a stall. The consensus of the resident community suggested that they felt generally very safe in the City. A few issues that were raised to the Commissioner and CST team related around rough sleeping, noise complaints, skateboarders, Metropolitan Police helicopters, street drinking and drug dealing on the west side of the City.

7. In support of the SCPs commitment to further improve engagement with our resident community, the Community Safety Team will also be attending a number of events to allow a deeper understanding of their concerns relating to crime and anti-social behaviour. The first of these took place on 26 April, with a coffee morning for residents on the Mansell Street estate. Like the City-wide residents meeting the residents generally felt very safe. Participation has, historically, been stronger in the older generation but working with Toynbee Hall we hope to see a broader representation. There will be a further visit on 31 May when the main topic will be about vulnerability and the risk of extremism. Future events will also be taking place on Golden Lane and Middlesex Street estate.
8. The Department of Built Environment ran 'The City Streets' public survey on the 3 February which ran for 8 weeks. The survey featured the question 'How safe from crime and anti-social behaviour do you feel?'. Over 1949 people accessed the survey and were asked to rate their experience on a scale of 1-5. The results of the survey came out as 3.7, which the survey suggested meant people feel safe.
9. The team also supported International Women's Day Breakfast organised by the City of London Police Women's network on 9 March and provided bag hangers and Z cards for the gift bags.

### **Proceed of Crime Act – Funds to Support Partnership Activity**

10. At the February meeting the Chairman confirmed that the City of London Police had confirmed it would allocate 10% of its POCA funds to the Safer City Partnership.
11. While it is not possible to identify the amount of funding this will generate, in the context of existing SCP resources it is likely to represent a significant additional resource.
12. During 2013/14 City of London Police provided POCA funding to support SCP activity. For that period a simple, light touch, approach was agreed to the allocation and monitoring of related spend. The criteria required that the activity contributed to agreed SCP priorities and the presumption was that two or more SCP partners supported a specific proposal.
13. For this new funding it is recommended that a proposed project is:
  - a. Supported by two or more SCP partners;

- b. Proposals which carry a commitment by one or more partners to provide a degree of additional resource (staff or financial) will be particularly welcome.
- c. Must demonstrate a clear linkage to agreed SCP priorities; and
- d. those partners involved in the project undertake to provide a full report on the activity and spend to the SCP and, as required, City of London Police.

14. If these principles are agreed by Member's we will develop any additional administrative or monitoring requirements as required.

15. In terms of areas for spend Members views are sought. Priority areas could include improving analytical capability for the partnership; a specialist community safety case management system; ongoing training programme to support greater understanding of ASB and responses to it; support for the rough sleeping hubs; provision of equipment to reduce acquisitive crime (e.g. Chelsea clips; ground anchors); support the development of training around vulnerability and exploitation across relevant Corporation departments; develop and maintain suite of public facing materials which support SCP priorities (with an increasing focus on use of digital platforms); support for initiatives in the night time economy such as SOS buses.

16. Members thoughts on additional suitable projects are actively sought.

### **Information Sharing Agreement**

17. Attached as an annex to this item is an Information Sharing Protocol for the Safer City Partnership. Members will be aware that this subject has been discussed at previous meetings. It has also been raised in other settings such as the Serious and Organised Crime Board.

18. The attached draft has been circulated to all SCP members. Feedback has been obtained and incorporated. Members are asked to agree this document and sign the last page, which we will then attach to the final document.

**David MacKintosh**

Community Safety Manager

T: 020 7332 3084

E: [david.mackintosh@cityoflondon.gov.uk](mailto:david.mackintosh@cityoflondon.gov.uk)