

<i>Date</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Officer responsible</i>	<i>To be completed/ progressed to next stage</i>	<i>Notes/Progress to date</i>
<p>Ongoing Action</p> <p>25 July 2016</p> <p>27 September 2016</p> <p>8 November 2016</p> <p>6 December 2016</p> <p>14 February 2017</p> <p>16 May 2017</p> <p>20 June 2017</p> <p>24 July 2017</p> <p>5 September 2017</p> <p>17 October 2017</p> <p>23 January 2018</p> <p>27 February 2018</p> <p>9 April 2018</p> <p>3 July 2018</p> <p>4 September 2018</p> <p>23 October 2018</p> <p>4 December 2018</p>	<p>Swan Pier and Trigg Lane</p> <p>The Thames Wall adjacent to Swan Pier and Trigg Lane to be repaired to meet the requirements of the Environment Agency notice. Completion due Spring 2019.</p>	<p>City Surveyor</p>	<p>24 January 2019</p>	<p>The matter had now been referred to the City Surveyor. Officers to update.</p> <p>The City Surveyor advised that consultant engineers were currently preparing technical documentation for tenders to repair the flood defence wall.</p> <p>The City Surveyor reported that the first tender exercise had resulted in very little interest and a second exercise was scheduled for June.</p> <p>Officers to prepare a response to all Members of the Sub-Committee on whether the works related to the pier itself or the flood defence wall, and whether there would be any legal ramifications if the pier was taken out, even temporarily.</p> <p>The Sub-Committee was advised of a slight delay to the restoration of the stonework, and that further funding would be requested from Resource Allocation Sub-Committee under urgency procedures. The Environment Agency had been updated on the work undertaken. The scheme would comprise of work on the Thames Wall and the old pierhead would be replaced with a new one.</p> <p>The contractor is now appointed and is mobilising the site setup, obtaining permits in preparation for starting works in late January. All works on programme.</p>

				The City Surveyors will issue monthly progress reports on this going forward.
<p>24 July 2017 17 October 2017 23 January 2018 27 February 2018 3 July 2018 4 September 2018 23 October 2018 4 December 2018</p>	<p>22 Bishopsgate The Sub-Committee considered an outline options appraisal report of the Director of Built Environment concerning works to improve the public realm areas and security in and around the 22 Bishopsgate development (formerly known as 'The Pinnacle').</p>	Director of the Built Environment	March 2019	<p>Reference was made to servicing and consolidation measures and officers agreed to report back on this.</p> <p>Officers reported that a meeting had been scheduled with relevant stakeholders to discuss security and public realm improvements and a report back was expected May 2018.</p> <p>At the last meeting, the Sub-Committee was advised that the negotiations over public realm improvements remained positive. Officers hoped that a legal agreement with the developer would be in place by October 2018 and that work could start in late October or early November 2018.</p> <p>The Director of the Built Environment advised the Sub-Committee that there had been some delay as the developer had shifted their programme, slowing negotiations, but the details of the agreement were in largely in place awaiting final confirmation.</p>
<p>23 January 2018 27 February 2018 9 April 2018 3 July 2018 4 September 2018 23 October 2018 4 December 2018</p>	<p><u>Dockless Bikes</u> In response to a question concerning the dumping of yellow bikes in the City, officers reported that as a dockless cycle hire scheme could operate with no on-street infrastructure, companies were able to operate their schemes without the express consent of the Highway Authorities although bikes deemed to be causing an obstruction or nuisance could be</p>	Director of the Built Environment	February 2019	<p>Meetings are being held with both cycle operators who currently have agreements to operate in the City.</p> <p>Officers are further reviewing the legal position in relation to obstruction and options to remove bicycles left on City footways. In addition, London Councils are exploring a byelaw to enable operators to be licensed.</p> <p>P&T on 11 September agreed to continue the current dockless cycle hire policy until the</p>

	<p>removed.</p> <p>Officers agreed to speak to the relevant operators and report back to a future meeting.</p>			<p>Transport Strategy is adopted and the policy updated accordingly; the adoption of additional management measures for dockless cycle hire operations during this period; and to support London Councils in their review of the potential for a London-wide byelaw.</p> <p>The Director of the Built Environment advised the Sub-Committee that more general work on reviewing the Street Obstructions Policy had begun, and this would be brought back to Members in Spring 2019.</p> <p>Any relevant updates before the Transport Strategy is brought back to Committee will be reported to Members.</p>
<p>23 October 2018 4 December 2018</p>	<p><u>Beech Street Transport and Public Realm Improvements</u> The project will address air quality issues by reducing traffic that pass through the tunnel. At the same time it aims to deliver a vibrant street with a high quality public realm at the centre of the Culture Mile, which will also provide the opportunity to realise property outcomes.</p>	<p>Director of the Built Environment</p>	<p>February 2019</p> <p>February 2019</p> <p>March 2019</p>	<p>Regular meetings with TfL and Islington are continuing with the first joint meeting, attended by all three parties, held on 18 January 2019. The Beech Street project and Islington's Old Street/Clerkenwell Road scheme were discussed and how the two projects will interact. Minutes are currently being worked up and an update on the outcomes of this meeting will be provided at the next committee meeting.</p> <p>A meeting to be attended by representatives from GLA and CoL Members (Chairman of P&R and P&T) has been organised for 20 February.</p> <p>The baseline study and data collection exercise is progressing with various surveys planned over the next 4–8 weeks, including traffic surveys, air quality monitoring, noise monitoring and lighting levels assessment.</p>

			March 2019	The first deliverable of the modelling task has recently been completed. This relates to the interim scheme and discussions on the format of the interim scheme and a way forward are currently being discussed with TfL. The impact on buses along Beech Street are also currently being assessed in consultation with TfL and it is expected that these will be resolved in conjunction with the other traffic impacts referred to above. Overall, officers expect to be in a position to advise on the viability of the interim scheme by March 2019.
4 December 2018	<p><u>Blackfriars Bridge Underpass</u></p> <p>A Member expressed concern regarding the poor state of the underpass at Blackfriars Bridge and asked who was responsible for the cleaning and maintenance of it.</p> <p>Officers advised that there were overlapping responsibilities between the CoL and TfL and discussions were taking place with TfL to address the problem.</p> <p>A Member questioned why Transport for London were reluctant to allow the CoL Corporation to take over responsibility for the underpass and asked if officers had engaged at a senior level.</p> <p>Members expressed concern at the state of the underpass and the fact that people were likely to try and cross the road as an alternative to using it which was extremely</p>	Director of the Built Environment	DBE to update	<p>A detailed response was sent to the Member on 09/01/2018.</p> <p>The City are monitoring it, increasing inspections, scheduled and ad hoc cleaning as required is now in place.</p> <p>Put a request in with TfL with a view to arranging a site meeting to agree an allocate clear responsibilities and explore CoL taking over TfL responsibilities. Officers undertook to report back on the options available.</p> <p>Members noted Officers had reached an agreement with TfL who had agreed to replace the tiles in the Blackfriars Bridge underpass and review the lighting there. Officers would undertake a deep cleanse of the underpass and invite local ward Members and the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the Port Health and Environmental Services Committee to visit. Members could then consider whether a programme for routine deep cleansing of the underpass would be feasible going forward.</p>

	dangerous.			<p>UPDATE: Members were informed that TfL had undertaken tar repairs in the underpass and that a deep clean of the area had been undertaken by City Highways. TfL had undertaken to look at the issue of rough sleeping here alongside the City's outreach team.</p> <p>New LED lighting was also to be installed in the underpass.</p>
--	------------	--	--	---