



DDENIDIV 2

	rate Risks Register						
Project name: Puddle Dock	Improvement Measure	s					
Unique project identifier: 11733							
Total est cost (exc risk) £509126							
Total est cost (exc risk) 2509720			C	ornorate Dick I	Matrix score table	9	
M's overall risk rating Low				erious impact	Major impact	Extreme impac	
vg risk pre-mitigation 7.2	Likely		4	8	16	32	
vg risk post-mitigation 3.8	Possible		3	6	12	24	
ed risks (open) 0	Unlikely		2	4	8	16	
mber risks (open) 5	Rare		1	2	4	8	
reen risks (open)					-		
0							
osted risks identified (All)	£249,000.00	49%	Costed risk as % o	of total estimat	ed cost of projec	rt .	
osted risk pre-mitigation (open)	£249,000.00	49%	" "				
osted risk post-mitigation (open)	£216,500.00	43%	" "				
osted Risk Provision requested	£0.00	0%	CRP as % of total estimated cost of project				
	20.00	070	Orti as 70 or total	Colimated Coo	t or project		
	Number of Open Risks	Avg Score	Costed impact		Amber	Green	
(1) Compliance/Regulatory	1	6.0	£10,000.00	0	1	0	
			0000 000 00	0	2	•	
(2) Financial	2	7.0	£209,000.00	U	Z	0	
(2) Financial (3) Reputation	1	8.0	£10,000.00	0	1	0	
(2) Financial (3) Reputation (4) Contractual/Partnership	1	8.0 8.0	£10,000.00 £20,000.00	0	1	0	
(2) Financial (3) Reputation (4) Contractual/Partnership (5) H&S/Wellbeing	1 1 0	8.0 8.0 0.0	£10,000.00 £20,000.00 £0.00	0 0	1 1 0	0 0 0	
(2) Financial(3) Reputation(4) Contractual/Partnership(5) H&S/Wellbeing(6) Safeguarding	1 1 0	8.0 8.0 0.0 0.0	£10,000.00 £20,000.00 £0.00	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	
 (2) Financial (3) Reputation (4) Contractual/Partnership (5) H&S/Wellbeing (6) Safeguarding (7) Innovation 	1 1 0 0	8.0 8.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	£10,000.00 £20,000.00 £0.00 £0.00	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	
 (2) Financial (3) Reputation (4) Contractual/Partnership (5) H&S/Wellbeing (6) Safeguarding (7) Innovation (8) Technology 	1 1 0	8.0 8.0 0.0 0.0	£10,000.00 £20,000.00 £0.00	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	
 (2) Financial (3) Reputation (4) Contractual/Partnership (5) H&S/Wellbeing (6) Safeguarding (7) Innovation (8) Technology (9) Environmental 	1 1 0 0 0	8.0 8.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	£10,000.00 £20,000.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	
 (2) Financial (3) Reputation (4) Contractual/Partnership (5) H&S/Wellbeing (6) Safeguarding (7) Innovation (8) Technology 	1 1 0 0 0 0	8.0 8.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	£10,000.00 £20,000.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	
 (2) Financial (3) Reputation (4) Contractual/Partnership (5) H&S/Wellbeing (6) Safeguarding (7) Innovation (8) Technology (9) Environmental 	1 1 0 0 0 0	8.0 8.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	£10,000.00 £20,000.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	
 (2) Financial (3) Reputation (4) Contractual/Partnership (5) H&S/Wellbeing (6) Safeguarding (7) Innovation (8) Technology (9) Environmental 	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	8.0 8.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	£10,000.00 £20,000.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

(on completion)

APPENDIX 3

City	of Londo	on: Projects Pr	ocedure Corporate	e Risks Register																		
	Pi	roject Name:	Puddle Dock Im	provement Meas	sures		1	PM's overall risk rating:	Low		CRP requested			Average gated risk			7.2			Open Risks	5	
Un	ique pro	ject identifier:	11733					Total estimated cost (exc risk):	£	509,126	Total CRP used to date			Average mitigated			3.8		C	losed Risks	0	
Gene Risk D	eral risk clas Gateway		Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classification n pre- mitigation	Impact Classification n pre- mitigation		Costed impact pre- mitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N	Confidence in the estimation	Mitigation actions Mitigating actions	ion post-	Classificat i	mpact post- nitigation (£) ti	Nitiga to d	Pused Use date	of CRP	Ownership Date raised	& Action Named Departmental Risk Manager/ Coordinator	Risk owner (Named Officer or External Party)	Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to	Comment(s)
	5	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Consultation objection/s is/are received for the closure of the Puddle Dock slip road	Delivery programme is delayed to resolve the objection	Possible	Serious	6	£10,000.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	The design proposal is fully appriased to ensure they are robust and approproite for all street users. Engagement with objectors to resolve where possible and include design changes if possible	£0.00 Unlikely	Serious	£5,000.00	4	00.03		21/06/2019	8 McVean	A Cheung	rection	
	5	(2) Financial	Tit. funding is time restricted and therefore the allocation must be utilised within the financial year	If funding is not used within the restricted period it may be lost	Possible	Serious	6	£185,000.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	Early engagement with stakeholders to ensure all necessary approvals are obtained to deliver the project utilising confirmed tunding. Construction is expected to commence in January 2020 which should provide sufficient time to carry out the works and utilities funding	£0.00 Unlikely	Serious	£185,000.00	4	00.02		21/06/2019	8 McVean	A Cheung		
	5	(2) Financial	Work cost estimates may change	Budgets will need to be adjusted to accommodate differences	Likely	Serious	8	£24,000.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	Early engagement with Highway engineers to collect sufficient base data to minimise unknown costs and prepare detailed design	£0.00 Possible	Minor	£11,500.00	3	£0.00		21/06/2019	B McVean	A Cheung		
	5	(3) Reputation	Tit.'s ability to deliver the crossing and their timescales (summer 2020) may sip or be cancelled altogether due to other priorities beyond the City's control	City could receive negative comments that the scheme is incomplete.		Serious	8	£10,000.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	Regular engagement with Iff. to ensure the delivery of their signalised crossing over Upper Thomes Street is coordinated with the City Puddle Dock measures. Commitment assurance from TfL's senior sponsorship officers received	£0.00 Unlikely	Serious	£5,000.00	4	20.03		21/06/2019	B McVean	A Cheung		
	5	(4) Contractual/Par tnership	Private land owners do not consent to highway works being undertaken on their land	Certain areas would not be converted into the pedestrian space	Unlikely	Major	8	£20,000.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	Early engagement with the private land owners to resolve any potential issues and minimise delay	£0.00 Unlikely	Serious	£10,000.00	4	£0.00		14/08/2019	B McVean	A Cheung		

This document can only be considered valid when viewed via the CoL Intranet website. If this is printed into hard copy or saved to another location, you must check that the effective date on your copy matches that of the one on-line.

Project Coversheet

APPENDIX 4

[1] Ownership & Status

UPI: 11733

Core Project Name: Puddle Dock Improvement Measures

Programme Affiliation: N/A
Project Manager: Albert Cheung

Definition of need: In 2016, the Thames Tideway Project relocated Blackfriars Pier from the western side of Blackfriars Bridge to its current location opposite Puddle Dock. Pedestrian routes to and from the pier are limited to east-west movements only with no direct access into the City. This project would therefore introduce a new pedestrian route between the pier and Queen Victoria Street.

Key measures of success:

- 1. A pedestrian crossing is provided over Upper Thames Street;
- 2. A footway is implemented along Puddle Dock;
- 3. A pedestrian route through the Riverside Walkway is implemented;
- 4. Measures are implemented to improve road safety;
- 5. Improve the public realm.

Expected timeframe for the project delivery: June 2020.

The original delivery date was March 2018. However, since November 2017, it has been on hold mainly because it was reliant on TfL delivering the crossing over Upper Thames Street.

TfL has now confirmed that they will deliver and fund the crossing and have included this into their work programme for delivery in 2020.

Key Milestones: Gateway 5 Authority to Start Work

Are we on track for completing the project against the expected timeframe for project delivery? Yes (as per G5 report)

Has this project generated public or media impact and response which the City of London has needed to manage or is managing? No

[2] Finance and Costed Risk

Headline Financial, Scope and Design Changes:

Since Project Briefing	
G1 report:	
Total Estimated Cost (excluding risk)	£425 - £1.4M
Costed Risk Against Project	N/A (Pre CRP requirement)
Scope/Design Change and Impact	None
Since Project Proposal	
G2 report (PSC Approval 20 July 2016):	
Total Estimated Cost (excluding risk)	£425K - £1.4M

This document can only be considered valid when viewed via the CoL Intranet website. If this is printed into hard copy or saved to another location, you must check that the effective date on your copy matches that of the one on-line.

Resources to reach next Gateway (excluding risk)	£175K
Spend to date	£160K
Costed Risk Against Project	N/A (Pre CRP requirement)
CRP Requested	N/A (Pre CRP requirement)
CRP Drawn Down	N/A (Pre CRP requirement)
Estimated Delivery	Early 2018
	-
Scope/Design Change and Impact	None

Total anticipated on-going commitment post-delivery [£]:£0 Programme Affiliation [£]:N/A

Appendix 5 Puddle Dock Finance Tables

Table 1: Expenditure to date - Puddle Dock Improvements - 16800354							
Description	Approved Budget (£)	Expenditure (£)	Balance (£)				
Env Servs Staff Costs	5,500	7,642	(2,142)				
P&T Staff Costs	70,501	70,500	1				
Data Collection Fees	40,000	37,860	2,140				
Feasibility Fees	41,398	31,347	10,051				
P&T Fees	700	677	23				
Traffic Modelling	16,901	-	16,901				
TOTAL	175,000	148,026	26,974				

Table 2: Revised Budget to reach next Gateway							
Description	Approved Budget (£) *	Resources required to reach next Gateway (£)	Revised Budget to next Gateway (£)				
Env Servs Staff Costs	7,642	61,000	68,642				
P&T Staff Costs	70,500	25,000	95,500				
Open Spaces Staff Costs	-	3,600	3,600				
Data Collection Fees	37,860	•	37,860				
Feasibility Fees	31,347	•	31,347				
P&T Fees	677	14,500	15,177				
Traffic Modelling	•	•	-				
Env Servs Works	-	247,400	247,400				
Open Spaces Works	-	9,600	9,600				
TOTAL	148,026	361,100	509,126				

^{*}Subject to Members Approval

Table 3: Funding Sources						
Funding Sources	Amount (£)					
DBE Local Risk	422					
TfL LIP FY 16/17	102,000					
TfL LIP FY 17/18	35,670					
TfL LIP FY 18/19	1,136					
TfL LIP FY 19/20	203,853					
TfL LIP FY 20/21	166,045					
TOTAL	509,126					