

City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register

| General risk classification | | Project Name: PAVA installation & door lock upgrade | | | | | | | | | | PM's overall risk rating: Low | | CRP requested this gateway: £ - | | Average unmitigated risk: 6.9 | | Open Risks: 7 | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|---|--|---|------------|
| Unique project identifier: TBC | | Total estimated cost (exc risk): £ - | | | | | | | | | | Total CRP used to date: £ - | | Average mitigated risk: 0.0 | | Closed Risks: 0 | | | | | | | |
| Risk ID | Gateway | Category | Description of the Risk | Risk Impact Description | Likelihood Classification pre-mitigation | Impact Classification pre-mitigation | Risk score | Costed impact pre-mitigation (£) | Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N | Confidence in the estimation | Mitigating actions | Mitigation cost (£) | Likelihood Classification post-mitigation | Impact Classification post-mitigation | Costed impact post-mitigation (£) | Post-Mitigation risk score | CRP used to date | Use of CRP | Ownership & Action | Named Departmental Risk Manager/Coordinator | Risk owner (Named Officer or External Party) | Date Closed OR/Realised & moved to issues | Comment(s) |
| R1 | | | | | | | £0.00 | | | | | £0.00 | | | £0.00 | | £0.00 | | | | | | |
| R2 | 5 | (5) H&S/Wellbeing | Asbestos surveys identify works would require management of asbestos containing material | Cost for management/mitigation of asbestos, increase to project delivery time for asbestos removal/management | Possible | Major | 12 | £0.00 | | | | £0.00 | | | £0.00 | | £0.00 | | | | | | |
| R3 | 4 | (2) Financial | Tender costs higher than anticipated budget cost | Insufficient budget to deliver all project scope and hence impact on business case | Possible | Major | 12 | £0.00 | | | | £0.00 | | | £0.00 | | £0.00 | | | | | | |
| R4 | 4 | (2) Financial | Design costs higher than requested for at GW3/4 | Unable to progress to tender on one or more projects. | Possible | Major | 12 | £0.00 | | | | £0.00 | | | £0.00 | | £0.00 | | | | | | |
| R5 | 6 | (5) H&S/Wellbeing | Disruption to site services/operations during installation | Some level of disruption (interruption to the operation of building assets being replaced) is inevitable. The potential impact of the disruption is project specific. Could, occupant/user complaints, project delays/deferment, and increased costs. | Unlikely | Minor | 2 | £0.00 | | | Good project planning, driven by competent appointed Project Manager, to minimise the likelihood and impact of known or potential disruption. This could include the timing of works, provision of temporary alternative services, and ensuring this is well communicated to stakeholders. | £0.00 | | | £0.00 | | £0.00 | | | | | | |
| R6 | 6 | (5) H&S/Wellbeing | An accident/injury related to the works being undertaken for the installation | Depends on the nature of the accident/injury, but potentially; project delays and legal action. | Unlikely | Serious | 4 | £0.00 | | | Ensure project is specified, designed, procured, and installed/managed in accordance with regulations and CoL policies. A competent Project Manager, with appropriate experience in building services installations, will be appointed to manage the projects from GW3/4 stage until installation completion and hand-over and ensure compliance with regulations and CoL policies. | £0.00 | | | £0.00 | | £0.00 | | | | | | |
| R7 | 6 | (4) Contractual/Partnership | Installation is not compliant | Depending the the nature of the compliance this could have minor to major issues. It could result in services being shut-down. | Unlikely | Serious | 4 | £0.00 | | | Through due diligence, Control of Contractors, and Project Manager resources: ensure specification and installation meets standards. Enhanced scrutiny should be given to works to services which have higher risks. | £0.00 | | | £0.00 | | £0.00 | | | | | | |
| R8 | 6 | (5) H&S/Wellbeing | Occupants/users are not satisfied with final outcome | Poor performance from new building services could result in minor or major dissatisfaction depending on the resulting issues. | Unlikely | Minor | 2 | £0.00 | | | Through due diligence, Control of Contractors, and Project Manager resources: ensure specification and installation meets standards. Enhanced scrutiny should be given to works to services which have higher risks. | £0.00 | | | £0.00 | | £0.00 | | | | | | |