

## Appendix 1 – Projects for Pre-Gateway 5 Closure

### Department of Community and Children’s Services

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Gullies and Drains Cleaning</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	11543 (29800063)
<b>Approval Amount:</b>	£25,000
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£15,802 (survey fees)
<b>Amount Unspent:</b>	£9,698
<b>When project was closed:</b>	2018
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	Following survey reports the extent of work identified was variable across estates was minimal. The costs for accessing some of the drains and gullies were prohibitive compared to the actual work required. It was therefore decided that any repairs that were needed would be done by response repairs and a future cyclical maintenance contract be looked into, to address routine maintenance of drainage and gullies.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Close project - any drainage repairs that have been reported to response repairs have been addressed. The department’s repairs and maintenance team are in the process of setting up a cyclical maintenance contract.

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Windsor House Paths, Water Mains and Boundary Walls</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	11132 (29800061)
<b>Approval Amount:</b>	Staff costs: £1,690 Consultant Fees: £15,210
<b>Spend to date:</b>	Staff costs: £1,690 Consultant Fees: £7,850
<b>Amount Unspent:</b>	£7.360
<b>When project was closed:</b>	2018
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	Following survey reports the extent of work identified was minimal. Any repairs that were needed would be done by response repairs, to be reported by estate staff.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Close project - any drainage repairs that have been reported to response repairs have been addressed. The department’s repairs and maintenance team are in the process of setting up a cyclical maintenance contract.

## City Surveyor's Department – Property Projects Group

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>65/65a Basinghall Street (Justice Rooms)</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	11446
<b>Approval Amount:</b>	£37,000
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£24,040
<b>Amount Unspent:</b>	£12,960
<b>When project was closed:</b>	2018
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	The project did not progress in the first instance as the building was required for occupation. Subsequently in March 2018 the building was Grade 2 Listed by Historic England in its entirety. Proposals to building over and under would be very costly and this in the context of other major capital programmes and ongoing occupation requirements for City of London Corporation and its partners the project has not been progressed.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Close project

## City Surveyor's Department - Investment Property Group

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>City's Estate – Strategic Estate: 26/31 Shoreditch High Street, E1</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	11522
<b>Approval Amount:</b>	£117,000
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£105,218
<b>Amount Unspent:</b>	£11,782
<b>When project was closed:</b>	2019
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	<p>The properties form part of a wider development site (5% of the overall site by area) and following negotiations during 2018 and 2019 are now contained within an Option Agreement with Hammerson (owner of 90% of the overall site by area) for future development subject to planning permission (Approved by the Property Investment Board on 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2019 (CS 028/19)). The Option Agreement will run for 10 years and secure the City's involvement in any development of the site. This will maximise value once planning permission is secured.</p> <p>Subsequent to the issue report in January 2017, the consultant team have estimated the cost of refurbishment to bring the property back into a lettable condition at £1.77M (£399psf / £4,297psm) making this option unviable over the 10 years pending redevelopment. The current holding costs associated with the properties are approximately £11,000 per annum. It is proposed that the building be let for advertising purposes to offset holding costs and provide potential future income estimated to be £25,000 per annum.</p>

	Access to the properties is restricted due to the presence of asbestos and parts of the structure need to be made safe before full asbestos surveys and other structural surveys can be undertaken. Although visual structural surveys have not identified the properties at risk of collapse it is considered that the vertical structural cracks will only get worse if action is not taken to stabilise the structure and make it watertight. The cost of the survey and structural works has been estimated at £50,000.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Close project and conduct necessary repair work to ensure buildings are structurally safe.

### Department of the Built Environment

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>6 Bevis Marks S106</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	10671
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£12,113.75
<b>When project was closed:</b>	2014
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	Section 278 works delivered majority of improvements to Bury Court. Section 106 funds were transferred to the Aldgate project.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Close project

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Bank Alleys and Courts</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	11010
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£0
<b>When project was closed:</b>	The project was put on hold in 2016
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	The project was put on hold due to the desire to take forward an area-based approach to the enhancement of the courts and alleys as part of the wider Bank project.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Continue to explore improvements to the courts and alleys through the wider Bank area project

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Blackfriars Bridge Walkway</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	11493 Please note error on Project Vision suggesting the project has received Gateway 5 approval. Gateway 5 has not taken place.
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£21,035.42
<b>When project was closed:</b>	May 2015
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	The project has been superseded by Tideway's Tunnel public realm design and works
<b>Next steps:</b>	Project officer will continue to liaise with Tideway Tunnel project team

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Bucklersbury House s106 functional and environmental enhancements</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	9466
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£36,846.38

<b>When project was closed:</b>	The project was put on hold in 2015
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	The project was superseded by the Bloomberg highway improvements project.
<b>Next steps:</b>	To be closed

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Bus Reliability Scheme</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	11694
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£139,816.00
<b>When project was closed:</b>	2019
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	After investigating the issues raised by various bus affiliated groups/associations there were no further feasible projects that could be progressed.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Close the project

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Eastern City Cluster Ph. 2</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	10719
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£46,130.35
<b>When project was closed:</b>	Put on hold in 2015
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	The project was put on hold due to the need to update the strategy for the City Cluster as a result of the rapid growth in the area. The area covered by this project (St Mary Axe and Leadenhall Street) has now been included in Phase 2 of the recently adopted City Cluster Vision.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Take forward design and consultation work as part of the City Cluster Vision

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Fenchurch Street Improvements</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	10986
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£49,711.63
<b>When project was closed:</b>	Put on hold in early 2016
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	Scope of project was not agreed with local stakeholders, and scheme was subsequently superseded by the City Cluster Vision work.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Initiate a new project to take forward a revised scheme as outlined in the City Cluster Vision. Remaining Section 106 funding (approx. £50k) to be reallocated.

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Fleet Street Corridor – Major Scheme</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	10671
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£216,255.97
<b>When project was closed:</b>	Put on hold in mid-2016

<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	Following a public consultation on the proposals in early 2016, the project was paused due to concerns regarding traffic movement in the Temple area. The project has since been superseded as Transport for London no longer allocate 'Major Schemes' funding; a Fleet Street Healthy Streets Plan (recommended in the adopted Transport Strategy) will be developed and will reassess proposals for this area. The design and consultation work to date will be used to inform the Healthy Streets Plan.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Initiate the Fleet Street Healthy Streets Plan.

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Guildhall Pond and Green Spaces</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	10681
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£25,941.77
<b>When project was closed:</b>	Put on hold in 2015
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	Design options were developed, however, funding to take the proposals forward was limited. Some of the planned improvements have been implemented through the subsequent security improvement works
<b>Next steps:</b>	To be closed

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Philpot Lane and Eastcheap Crossing</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	11378
<b>Spend to date:</b>	The funding was reassigned to the Aldgate Project.
<b>When project was closed:</b>	Reassigned to Aldgate Project in June 2014.
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	The funding was reassigned to the Aldgate Project. This was approved by Members in the <a href="#">Aldgate G5 report</a> and appendix G, 'Fenchurch St 20 08/01061/FULMAJ – Transport' (June 2014)
<b>Next steps:</b>	Close project

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Refurb Sturgeon Lighting Units</b>
<b>Project UPI (this is the PV ID):</b>	9611
<b>Spend to date:</b>	£2,883.94
<b>When project was closed:</b>	2016
<b>Why project was closed early:</b>	The project to refurbish the sturgeon lighting units on the Embankment river wall, did not get beyond the concept stage before the Thames Tideway project began. The project has been superseded by the Street Lighting Strategy and any refurbishments would need to align with this Strategy. The project was created via a capital bid prior to the introduction of the Gateway process and has never been through any Gateway.
<b>Next steps:</b>	Close project and continue lighting upgrades via the Street Lighting Strategy

