Committee(s):	Dated:
Port Health and Environmental Services	13 07 2021
Subject:	Public
Revenue Outturn 2020/21	
Which outcomes in the City Corporation's Corporate	n/a
Plan does this proposal aim to impact directly?	
Does this proposal require extra revenue and/or	N
capital spending?	
If so, how much?	n/a
What is the source of Funding?	n/a
Has this Funding Source been agreed with the	n/a
Chamberlain's Department?	
Report of:	For Information
Chamberlain	
Director of the Built Environment	
Director of Markets and Consumer Protection	
Director of Open Spaces	
Report author:	
Jenny Pitcairn, Chamberlain's Department	

# **Summary**

This report compares the revenue outturn for the services overseen by your Committee in 2020/21 with the final budget for the year. Overall total net expenditure for the year was £16.274m, whereas the total agreed budget was £18.534m, representing an underspend of £2.260m as set out below:

Summary Comparison of 2020/21 Revenue Outturn with Final Budget					
•	Original Budget £000	Final Budget £000	Revenue Outturn £000	Variation Better/ (Worse) £000	
Direct Net Expenditure					
Built Environment	(8,796)	(8,845)	(8,516)	329	
Markets & Consumer	(2,180)	(3,869)	(3,265)	604	
Protection					
Open Spaces	1,675	1,753	3,066	1,313	
City Surveyor (including	(1,211)	(488)	(576)	(88)	
Cyclical Works					
Programme)					
Total Direct Net Expenditure	(10,512)	(11,449)	(9,291)	2,158	
Capital and Support Services	(6,679)	(7,085)	(6,983)	102	
Overall Total	(17,191)	(18,534)	(16,274)	2,260	

Chief Officers have submitted requests to carry forward underspendings, and these will be considered by the Chamberlain in consultation with the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of Resource Allocation Sub Committee.

### Recommendation

#### Members are asked to:

 Note the report and the proposed carry forward of local risk underspendings to 2021/22

## **Main Report**

#### Revenue Outturn for 2020/21

1. Actual net expenditure for your Committee's services during 2020/21 totalled £16.274m, an underspend of £2.26m compared to the final budget of £18.534m. A summary comparison with the final budget for the year is tabulated below. In this and subsequent tables, figures in brackets indicated expenditure, increases in expenditure or decreases in income.

Summary Comparison of 2020/21 Revenue Outturn with Final Budget					
				Variation	
	Original	Final	Revenue	Better/	
	Budget	Budget	Outturn	(Worse)	
	£000	£000	£000	£000	
Local Risk					
Built Environment	(8,796)	(8,815)	(8,485)	330	
Markets & Consumer Protection	(2,112)	(2,600)	(2,104)	496	
Open Spaces	1,675	1,765	3,078	1,313	
City Surveyor	(367)	(397)	(504)	(107)	
Total Local Risk	(9,600)	(10,047)	(8,015)	2,032	
Central Risk					
Built Environment	0	(30)	(31)	(1)	
Markets & Consumer Protection	(68)	(1,2 <sup>69</sup> )	(1,161)	108 <sup>°</sup>	
Open Spaces	) O	(12)	(12)	0	
Total Central Risk	(68)	(1,311)	(1,204)	107	
Cyclical Works Programme	(844)	(91)	(72)	19	
Capital and Support Services	(6,679)	(7,085)	(6,983)	102	
Overall Total	(17,191)	(18,534)	(16,274)	2,260	

- 2. The most significant local risk variations comprise:
  - Built Environment £330,000 underspend
    - a reduction in street cleansing contract costs, £757,000
    - a reduction in employee costs, £173,000
    - a reduction in commercial waste royalty income, (£241,000)

- a reduction in transfers from the Landfill Allowance Trading Scheme (LATS) reserve, (£300,000)
- Markets and Consumer Protection £496,000 underspend
  - a reduction in contingencies, £301,000
  - a reduction in bad debt provision, £141,000
  - an increase in Ports income, £206,000
  - an increase in grant income for Brexit preparations and sampling, £1.098m
  - an increase in transfers to reserves, (£1.046m)
  - an increase in employee costs, (£199,000)
  - an increase in sampling costs, (£121,000)
- Open Spaces £1.313m underspend
  - an increase in Cemetery & Crematorium income, £1.683m
  - an increase in transfers to reserves, (£500,000)
- City Surveyor £107,000 overspend
  - an increase in routine repairs and maintenance costs across the operational properties
- 3. The £107,000 underspend on central risk is primarily due to lower than anticipated costs of the Fishmonger's Hall inquest falling in 2020/21.
- 4. The £102,000 underspend on capital and support services is due mainly to a reduction in the cost of Walbrook Wharf depot recharged from Finance Committee (£390,000 underspend), changes in the cost and allocation of central support services (£221,000 overspend) and increased costs for the Markets & Consumer Protection Directorate recharged from Markets Committee (£55,000 overspend).
- 5. Appendix 1 provides a more detailed comparison of the local risk outturn against the final budget, including explanation of significant variations. Appendix 2 shows the gross local risk expenditure and income against budget for each Division of Service.
- 6. Appendix 3 shows the movement from the 2020/21 original budget to the final budget.

## **Local Risk Carry Forward to 2021/22**

- 7. The Director of the Built Environment has a local risk underspending of £330,000 on the activities overseen by your Committee. The Director had net local risk overspendings totalling £270,000 on activities overseen by other Committees. The Director is proposing that his maximum eligible underspend of £500,000 be carried forward, of which £200,000 relates to activities overseen by your Committee for the following purposes:
  - £150,000 towards the potential cost of redundancies as result of contract service reductions in street cleansing provision;
  - £40,000 for modification of a newly purchased vehicle to enable it for multiple uses including as a backup Garchey vehicle, gully tanker, and gritter;

- £10,000 for implementation of contactless payment facilities at public conveniences.
- 8. The Director of Markets and Consumer Protection has a local risk underspending of £496,000 on the activities overseen by your Committee. The Director had net local risk overspendings totalling £84,000 on activities overseen by other Committees. The Director is proposing that a total of £379,000 of his maximum eligible underspend of £412,000 be carried forward, of which £356,000 relates to activities overseen by your Committee for the following purposes:
  - £350,000 for replacement of Lady Aileen's engines;
  - £6,000 for completion of air quality citizen science work started in 2020/21 and delayed due to the impact of the pandemic.
- 9. The Director of Open Spaces has a local risk underspending of £1.313m on the activities overseen by your Committee. The Director had net local risk underspendings totalling £80,000 on activities overseen by other Committees. The Director is proposing that a total of £168,000 of his maximum eligible underspend of £500,000 be carried forward, none of which relates to activities overseen by your Committee.

# **Corporate & Strategic Implications**

Strategic implications – none.

Financial implications - none.

Resource implications – none.

Legal implications – none.

Risk implications – none.

Equalities implications – none.

Climate implications – none.

Security implications – none.

# **Appendices**

- Appendix 1 Port Health and Environmental Services Committee Comparison of 2020/21 Local Risk Revenue Outturn with Final Budget
- Appendix 2 Port Health and Environmental Services Committee Analysis of 2020/21 Local Risk Revenue Outturn by Service
- Appendix 3 Port Health and Environmental Services Committee Analysis of Movements 2020/21 Original Budget to Final Budget

### Jenny Pitcairn

Chamberlain's Department

T: 020 7332 1389

E: jenny.pitcairn@cityoflondon.gov.uk