## City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register Project name: All Change at Bank Unique project identifier: 11401 Total est cost (exc risk) £5600000 Corporate Risk Matrix score table PM's overall risk rating Medium Avg risk pre-mitigation 7.0 4 8 Avg risk post-mitigation 2.0 6 12 Red risks (open) 4 1 2 8 Amber risks (open) 8 8 Green risks (open) 5 Costed risks identified (All) £257,500.00 Costed risk as % of total estimated cost of project Costed risk pre-mitigation (open) £257,500.00 Costed risk post-mitigation (open) £194,000.00 3% **Costed Risk Provision requested** £93,000.00 2% CRP as % of total estimated cost of project (1) Service Delivery/ Performance 5 6.0 £114,000.00 0 5 0 (1) Compliance/Regulatory £100,000.00 1 0 0 (2) Financial 4.0 £24.000.00 0 3 0 3 (3) Reputation 8.0 £2,000.00 0 0 (4) Contractual/Partnership £7,500.00 2 3.0 0 0 2 (4) Legal/ Statutory £5,000.00 0 0 (5) H&S/Wellbeing 0 0 0.0 £0.00 0 0 (6) Safeguarding 0 0.0 £0.00 0 0 0 (7) Innovation 0 0.0 £0.00 0 0 0 (8) Technology 0.0 £0.00 0 0 0 0 (9) Environmental 0 0.0 £0.00 0 0 0 (10) Physical £5,000.00 6.0 0 0 Issues (open) **Open Issues** 0 0 0 0 0

All Issues

£0.00

0

Total CRP used to date

0

0

0

£0.00

All Issues

0

(on completion)

Cost to resolve all issues

## PM's overall **CRP** requested Average Open Risks Project Name: All Change at Bank Medium £ 93,000 7.0 risk rating: this gateway unmitigated risk Average mitigated Total CRP used to Total estimated cost Closed Risks Unique project identifier: 11401 5.600,000 2.0 (exec risk): risk score date General risk classification Ownership & Action Costed impact pre- Costed Risk Provision Confidence in the CRP used Use of CRP Category Description of the Risk Risk Impact Description Likelihood atina actions Likelihood Impact Costed Classificati Classificat impact post-Risk owner Date Closed OR/ nitigation (£) on post- ion post- mitigation (£) mitigation mitigation External Party mitiaation Risk risk score f an estimate is found at a ter date to be inaccurate or incomplete, more funding nd/or time resource would needed to rectify the issu naccurate or Incomplete Undertake regular cost Costs for highways r fund/ underwrite the project estimates, including Y - for costed impact reviews via the highways (2) Financial nortfall. More specifically, Jnlikely Serious £2.000.00 B - Fairly Confident £0.00 Rare £1.000.00 £0.00 team to review 4/09/2020 Leah Coburn Ben Bishop kters/ inflationary issue lationary amounts eads to budget increases redetermined earlier in a roject may be found to be unding to cover any shortfall. Ensure early engagement with TfL buses in the design urther time and therefore (4) Contractual/Part TfL buses engagement and their requirements on a ource may be required it nases so they can consul Y - for costed impact £0.00 Unlikely £3,000.00 £0.00 4/09/2020 £4,500.00 - Fairly Confident Leah Coburn eil West Inlikely lanned engagement work ternally time and/or costs of ership post-mitigation with TfL buses didn't go as Design the measures to their consultants help minimise impacts on the bus network Ensure early engagement Costs to cover LUL sta with LUL in the design phas (4) Contractual/Part LUL engagement and their source may be required to Y - for costed impact £2.000.00 Unlikely £3.000.00 - Very Confident to ascertain their £0.00 Rare £0.00 time and/or costs of 4/09/2020 Leah Coburn leil West equirements on a project atisfy LUL that the design is fit post-mitigation requirements for working near their infrastructure. their consultants or purpose. urther time and therefore source may be required if contact with busiensses planned engagement work with local external stakeholders didn't go as hat have not been £0.00 Costs to cover staff Issue(s) with external Y - for costed impact (4) Legal/ Statutory £5,000.00 A – Very Confident engaging these last few £0.00 Rare £3,000.00 4/09/2020 eah Coburn engagement and buy-in post-mitigation nonths to ensure lanned due to the nationa heyunderstnad the susal level of interaction. urther resources may be Track and locate other required to identify ossible additional funding additional funding or make Iternative arrangements if onstraints/ conditions that In co-operation with City £0.00 Costs to cover staff Funding constraint/ (2) Financial £2,000.00 – Fairly Confident £0.00 Rare £1,000.00 14/09/2020 Jnlikely eah Coburn Gillian Howard conditions implications Highways staff, strive to ame with existina fundina nake efficiency savings where possible during e're originally unforeseen nappreciated or have letailed design phase ubsequently changed. urther changes to the On-going dialogue with Accessibility and/ or security roject's design and scope nay be required if Costs to cover staff Y - for costed impact ncerns lead to project £20.000.00 £2,000.00 £0.00 and/ or consultants 4/09/2020 eah Coburn post-mitigation change ccessibility/ security concerns are raised. Work closely with the ngineering or technical niahways team to help Costs to cover staff (1) Service Delivery/ Unforeseen technical and/or ues that disrupt delivery Y - for costed impact en Bishop/ Neil lentify any unforeseen echnical or engineering ssible £35.000.00 - Fairly Confident £0.00 Unlikely £22.000.00 £0.00 and/ or consultants 4/09/2020 eah Coburn ould result in further costs hether they be time, engineering issues identified post-mitigation sues at an early stage. unding or resources iaise closely with design engineers to work out an approach to cover utiliy Delays could oocur which esult in unplanned costs if rial holes/ utility investigations lead to further Y - for costed impact delays or site discoveries £0.00 Costs to cover highways team en/Bishop/Nei £2,000.00 (10) Physical £5,000.00 - Fairly Confident £0.00 Rare 14/09/2020 utility companies don't Possible Serious eah Coburn information being required post-mitigation rial holes to be undertsker ngage as expected or utility urveys are required. and an increase and time. ave been developed urther. t is possible that we lose iaise closely with design innort for the proposed engineers to maximise public realm opportunites nectation of the look and anges whilst still having a feel of the scheme is higher £0.00 Costs to cover highways team Y - for costed impact Ben/Bishop/Nei £2,000.00 – Fairly Confident £1,000.00 14/09/2020 Leah Coburn (3) Reputation £0.00 Rare eed to make functional erious Minor than what can be achieved post-mitigation that can be included, nange to support the vith the budget available. subject to site and budge wth in pedestrian constraints. umbers. iaiase with internal/ Additional investigations or surveys may be required by external parties at an early Y - for costed impact £15,000.00 £20,000.00 - Fairly Confident £0.00 time and/ or 4/09/2010 Leah Coburn leil West Inlikely £0.00 Rare ogramme if validation of stage to agree the scope Minor erformance internal/external parties to post-mitigation e design is delayed. of any additional consultants time urther validate the design vestigations/ surveys. Delays could occur to the We may need to cover more Ongoing dialouge with rogramme if funding isn't vaialble to cover costs ssociated with the Eastern Costs to cover IfI staff 1 Service Delivery of the costs for TfL/ consultants fees for the Eastern Cluster Team to understand budget Y - for costed impact £40,000.00 £30,000.00 £0.00 time and/or costs of their consultants 4/09/2020 ossible £0.00 Rare eah Coburn erformance eil West post-mitigation Fastern Cluster project. constraints. luster project.

City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register

R13	4	(1) Service Delivery/ Performance	Transportation's and TfL's response to COVID-19 may	Making some of the temporary measures permanent could impact on the viability of proceeding with the project.	Possible	Serious	6	£15,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Ongoing monitoring and further sensitivity testing will be undertoken to help identify which temporary schemes could be made permanent.	£0.00	Rare	Minor	£10,000.00 <b>1</b>	£0.00	Costs to cover staff time and/ or consultants time	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard/ Neil West	
R14	5	(1) Compliance/Reg ulatory	legal challenge regarding the decsion to proceed with an agreed scheme	significant staff cost and legal fees in defending any legal challenge as well as no longer able to meet the project timeframe	Likely	Major	16	£100,000.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	ensure a transparent considered scheme, linked to policy andthat all pocesses are followed accordingly	£0.00	Possible	Major	£100,000.00 <b>12</b>	£0.00		01/02/2021	Leah Coburn	GillianHoward	
R15	4	(1) Service Delivery/ Performance	Delay to the TfL statutory bus consultation, dealys the G5	delay to programme - cannot guarentee progression of the scheme without the bus reroutings being approved by TfL.	Possible	Serious	6	£4,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation		continue working with TfL to ensure they have all the information they need to progress the consutaltion in good time	£0.00	Unlikely	Serious	£2,000.00 <b>4</b>	£0.00	Costs to cover staff time	24/05/2021	Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard/ Neil West	