

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find enclosed my objection to the application of GoPuff for 171-176 Aldersgate Street, Barbican, London EC1A 4HU.

Name: Dominic Parkes

Address: Flat XX, London House, 172 Aldersgate St, London, EC1A 4HU

Grounds for objection:

- I've lost count of the number of times I've almost been hit by delivery scooters / bikes. They don't observe many of the rules of the road, frequently running red lights and travelling on the pavement at speed.

O London House is situated on a pavement with near constant pedestrian traffic with deliveries posing a significant risk to them.

O The pavement is not wide enough to accommodate pedestrians and e-bikes.

O The only kerb drop downs to road level are situated at a zebra crossing between Virgin Active and 200 Aldersgate, and outside the NCP car park; both of which are situated away from the proposed entrance. Therefore, delivery drivers will frequently use the drop downs and travel along the pavement to access the site. This poses a significant risk to pedestrians as they travel at significant speed vs the foot traffic and congest an already well used pavement.

O Bicycles being left outside London House on what is already a narrow pavement. The congregation of bikes and drivers will restrict access to pedestrians.

- The sale of alcohol near the site will result in anti-social behaviour below a residential building. The nearest sites (Tesco's and Co-op) are all located beneath office buildings and/or public spaces.

- Drivers congregating outside London House 24/7, smoking, chatting which will cause disturbance to residents
- Trucks unloading deliveries 24/7 causing disturbance to residents. The road outside the unit is double yellow and therefore deliveries will be difficult. If trucks are parked outside the unit, they are on a blind corner for traffic coming off the roundabout. They will also block the bike lane and force cyclists into the dual lane road, which is used at speed by other road traffic. Posing a significant not only to residents that cycle in the area but other cyclists commuting in the area.
- London House does not have a 24-hour concierge and a 24/7 business operating where there is a high staff turnover (drivers who are self-employed) can place a potential security risk to London House. To protect the security of London House, we may need to have a 24-hour concierge, thereby increasing service charge.
- I live at the back of the London House building. The extractor fans for the unit are located on the roof terrace of London House. These may be on 24/7 thereby causing disturbance (noise and smell) to residents.
- A warehouse operation will result in an enormous amount of cardboard/plastic packaging to dispose of. The bin area is shared with London House and this area is not suitable for this volume of waste. This also creates a fire risk for London House.
- London House has a wide range of residents, elderly people with mobility issues, people working from home, key workers on night shift who need to sleep during the day, babies in prams. So a 24/7 warehouse operation is far too disruptive in a residential building.

Best regards,

Dom