

<b>Committee(s):</b> Licensing	<b>Dated:</b> 13/07/2022
<b>Subject:</b> Revenue Outturn 2021/22	<b>Public</b>
<b>Which outcomes in the City Corporation's Corporate Plan does this proposal aim to impact directly?</b>	n/a
<b>Does this proposal require extra revenue and/or capital spending?</b>	<b>N</b>
<b>If so, how much?</b>	n/a
<b>What is the source of Funding?</b>	n/a
<b>Has this Funding Source been agreed with the Chamberlain's Department?</b>	n/a
<b>Report of:</b> Chamberlain Executive Director Environment	<b>For Information</b>
<b>Report author:</b> Jenny Pitcairn, Chamberlain's Department	

### Summary

This report compares the revenue outturn for the services overseen by your Committee in 2021/22 with the final budget for the year. Overall total net expenditure during the year was £224,000 whereas the total budget was £99,000, representing an overspending of £125,000 as set out below.

<b>Summary Comparison of 2021/22 Revenue Outturn with Final Budget</b>				
	<b>Original Budget</b>	<b>Final Budget</b>	<b>Revenue Outturn</b>	<b>Variation Better/ (Worse)</b>
	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>
<b>Direct Net Expenditure</b>				
Environment	(51)	(52)	(168)	(116)
<b>Capital and Support Services</b>	<b>(23)</b>	<b>(47)</b>	<b>(56)</b>	<b>(9)</b>
<b>Overall Total</b>	<b>(74)</b>	<b>(99)</b>	<b>(224)</b>	<b>(125)</b>

The Executive Director Environment has submitted a request to carry forward underspendings, but none for the Licensing Committee. This request will be considered by the Chamberlain in consultation with the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the Resource Allocation Sub Committee.

### Recommendation(s)

Members are asked to:

- Note the report

## Main Report

### Revenue Outturn for 2021/22

1. Actual net expenditure for your Committee's services during 2021/22 totalled £224,000, an overspend of £125,000 compared to the final net budget of £99,000. A summary comparison with the final budget for the year is tabulated below. In this and subsequent tables, expenditure and adverse variances are presented in brackets.

<b>Summary Comparison of 2020/21 Revenue Outturn with Final Budget</b>				
	<b>Original Budget £000</b>	<b>Final Budget £000</b>	<b>Revenue Outturn £000</b>	<b>Variation Better/ (Worse) £000</b>
<b>Local Risk</b>				
Environment	(51)	(52)	(168)	(116)
<b>Total Local Risk</b>	<b>(51)</b>	<b>(52)</b>	<b>(168)</b>	<b>(16)</b>
<b>Capital and Support Services</b>	<b>(23)</b>	<b>(47)</b>	<b>(56)</b>	<b>(9)</b>
<b>Overall Total</b>	<b>(74)</b>	<b>(99)</b>	<b>(224)</b>	<b>(125)</b>

2. The most significant local risk variations were:
  - A reduction of £174,000 in fee income mainly as a result of the ongoing impacts of COVID-19, particularly in relation to Tables & Chairs;
  - An overspend of £48,000 due to the Committee's share of the Department's unidentified savings, which was held as a contingency;
  - An increase of £14,000 in bad debt provision;
  - Additional grant income of £80,000 towards the cost of administering Pavement Licences;
  - A decrease of £49,000 in employee costs as a result of staff vacancies.
3. Appendix 1 shows the movement from the 2021/22 original budget to the final budget.
4. The table overleaf shows the degree to which costs were recovered through fees by licence type.

Revenue Outturn by Licence Type	Revenue Outturn £000
<b>Late Night Levy</b> <sup>1</sup>	
Expenditure	(152)
Income	152
<b>Total Late Night Levy</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Gambling Act</b> <sup>2</sup>	
Expenditure	(10)
Income	5
<b>Total Gambling Act</b>	<b>(5)</b>
<b>Tables &amp; Chairs</b>	
Expenditure	(11)
Income	11
<b>Total Tables &amp; Chairs</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Massage &amp; Special Treatment</b>	
Expenditure	(28)
Income	28
<b>Total Massage &amp; Special Treatment</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Premises, street trading and other</b> <sup>3</sup>	
Expenditure	(800)
Income	581
<b>Total Premises, street trading &amp; other</b>	<b>(219)</b>
<b>OVERALL TOTAL</b>	<b>(224)</b>

<sup>1</sup> Including administration costs

<sup>2</sup> The cost of administering some application types have now exceeded the statutory maximum fees, therefore it is not possible to fully recover costs in those instances.

<sup>3</sup> The costs relating to premises (excluding Late Night Levy) and street trading are not separately identified at present. This category also includes any non-recoverable costs, including pavement licences (net of grant income) issued free-of-charge, and work in relation to COVID-19 restrictions eg providing advice, enforcement, and liaison with government.

### Local Risk Carry Forwards to 2022/23

5. The Executive Director Environment has a local risk overspending of £116,000 on the activities overseen by your Committee. The Executive Director had net local risk underspendings totalling £1.403m on activities overseen by other Committees. The Director is proposing that her maximum eligible underspend of £500,000 be carried forward, none of which relates to activities overseen by your Committee.

### Corporate & Strategic Implications

Strategic implications – none.

Financial implications – none.

Resource implications – none.

Legal implications – none.

Risk implications – none.

Equalities implications – none.

Climate implications – none.

Security implications – none.

## **Appendices**

- Appendix 1 – Movement from 2021/22 Original Budget to Final Budget

### **Jenny Pitcairn**

Chamberlain's Department

T: 020 7332 1389

E: [jenny.pitcairn@cityoflondon.gov.uk](mailto:jenny.pitcairn@cityoflondon.gov.uk)