City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register																							
Project Name: Unique project identifier:		Project Name:	Mansion House Station Environs - Little Trinity Lane]	PM's overall risk rating:	Low		CRP requested this gateway		Average unmitigated risk 4.4						Open Risks 8					
		project identifier	11945	Tota				l estimated cost (exc risk):			Total CRP used to date	£ -		Average mitigated risk score				3.8			Closed Risks		
General risk classification											Mitigation actions								Ownership				
R	isk Gatev)	ay Category	Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classifica n pre- mitigation	n pre-	Risk score	Costed impact pre- mitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provisior requested Y/N	n Confidence in the estimation	Mitigating actions	Mitigation cost (£)	Likelihood Classificat on post- mitigation	Impact i Classificat ion post- mitigation	Costed impact post- mitigation (£)	Post- Mitiga tion risk score	CRP used to date	Use of CRP	Date raised	Named Departmental Risk Manager/ Coordinator	Risk owner (Named Officer or External Party	Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to Issues	Comment(s)
R	1 4	(2) Financial	TRL LIP Funding and CSG Funding allocations do not get approved	This would impact the revises scope of the project	d Possible	Serious	6				Working closely Temporatelian and Climate Temporatelian and Climate Temporatelian and Climate the funding allocation not be approved. The minor accessibility improvements would be descoped and these would need to be undertoken as part of a separate future project. As to the CSS discontion. SIOU unallocated funds would be identified and/or reduction in amount of SuD would be identified and/or would be undertoken in the design finalisation		Possible	Serious		6	£0.00		15/08/2023	Leila Ben-Hassel	Leila Ben-Hassel		
R	2 4	(2) Financial	Archaelogical finds	This would require a watching brief and impact cost and lengthen the programme	Unlikely	Minor	2				the works will not be in depth so the risk is minor of finding archaelogy. A fee allocation for a possible watching brief will be included in the Gateway 5 budget.	20.03) Unlikely	Minor	£0.00	2	20.03	(15/08/2023	Leila Ben-Hassel	Leila Ben-Hassel		the funds would cover the cost of archaeology watching brief and additional staff costs that may be required if any archaeology is found on site
R	3 4	(1) Compliance/Regulatory	Objections to the statutory consultation on the traffic orders to move the Doctor's Bay by a few metres westbound.	This risk is low as the relocation is only a few metres and officers have identified the	n Unlikely	Minor	2	£0.00			Engagement with the Doctor's practice and local occupiers to highlight the benefits of the minor relocation of the Dr's bay.		Unlikely	Minor	£0.00	2	£0.00		15/08/2023	Leila Ben-Hassel	Lella Ben-Hassel		
R	4 4	(2) Financial	Works costs exceed budget due to unforeseen underground issues	would impact on budget and programme	Unlikely	Serious	4	\$0.00			Extensive radar survey has been undertaken	£0.00) Unlikely	Minor	\$0.00	2	£0.00		15/08/2023	Lella Ben-Hassel	Lella Ben-Hassel		
R	5 4	(2) Financial	Cost escalation due to inflation	increase cost of materials impact the project's budget	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			The City's term contractor will seek various quotes to ensure competitive prices are secured - risk will be monitored closely with Term Contractor	£0.00	D Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00	15/08/2023	15/08/2023	Leila Ben-Hassel	Leila Ben-Hassel		
R	5 4	(2) Financial	Programme delays due to sourcing of materials	Programme delays due to sourcing of materials incurs leading to cost increase (additional prelims / labour costs / staff costs)	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			This is out of the City's control. However, the project team will identify and engage with suppliers as early as possible as well as ensuring multiple quotes are explored to ensure value for money.	20.03	D Possible	Minor	£0.00	3	£0.00		15/08/2023	Leila Ben-Hassel	Leila Ben-Hassel		
R	7 4	(9) Environmental	Poor health of existing trees on site means that these trees may need to be removed and replaced	budget impact mostly	Possible	Minor	3	£0.00			Officers commissioned a tree survey and City Gardens will undertake an assessment - any trees that need to be replaced will be budgeted for in the implementation budget	£0.00	D Possible	Minor	£0.00	3	£0.00		15/08/2023	Leila Ben-Hassel	Leila Ben-Hassel		
R	3 4	(10) Physical	Unknown structural condition of the planter retaining wall may impact re-planting scope opportunities	this would impact the design scope and the delivery of benefits (e.g. greater amoun of blodiverse planting)	n Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			The budget would not be s	£0.00	D Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00		15/08/2023				