

<b>Committees:</b> Chief Officer – <i>for decision</i> Community and Children’s Services Committee – <i>for information</i>	<b>Dates:</b> 12 May 2023 15 June 2023
<b>Subject:</b> Social Care Case Management System <b>Unique Project Identifier:</b> N/A	<b>Gateway 6:</b> <b>Outcome Report</b> Regular
<b>Report of:</b> Director of Community & Children's Services <b>Report Author:</b> Sarah Greenwood	<b>For Information</b>
<b>PUBLIC</b>	

**Explanatory Note for Members:** The Corporate Projects Board agreed that the project should proceed under delegation until such time as determined the project would reach the thresholds of the Gateway process. Proceeding under delegation means that all usual Gateway reports are submitted to the Director, who may then choose to share the reports with Committee for information. Because previous Gateway reports were shared with Members, this report is also being shared with Members for their information.

### Summary

<b>1. Status update</b>	<b>Project description:</b> IT system designed to manage the caseload for children and adult social care users with interfaces with the NHS <b>RAG Status:</b> Green (Green at last report to Committee) <b>Risk Status:</b> Low (Low at last report to Committee) <b>Costed Risk Provision Utilised: £0</b> (of which £0 amount was drawn down at the last report to Committee) <b>Final Outturn Cost: £266,800</b>
<b>2. Next steps and requested decisions</b>	<b>Requested Decisions:</b> Members are asked to note the contents of the report and that the project will now be closed.

<b>3. Key conclusions</b>	The project met all of the original SMART objectives and realised all the planned benefits. The solution has enabled the City to continue to meet its statutory duties effectively and support planning for the forthcoming changes within Adult Social Care, including the Care Cap. Although the IT system was implemented on time, the delay in signing the contract led to cost increases. The final contract cost was within the original budget set at Gateway 1 and still achieved savings by direct award of the contract to the incumbent supplier.

## Main Report

### Design & Delivery Review

<b>4. Design into delivery</b>	The design of the specification covered all of the City's requirements with regard to both statutory duties and wider responsibilities.
<b>5. Options appraisal</b>	The option to outsource enabled leveraging of market expertise for the software.
<b>6. Procurement route</b>	The direct award of the contract to the existing supplier using the G-Cloud Framework meant that no capital outlay or data migration was required. There was a seamless transfer from the end of the existing contract to the new contract.
<b>7. Skills base</b>	No external consultants were required because the City's officers (both in IT and Community and Children's Services) were suitably skilled and worked in partnerships to develop the specification, complete the options appraisal and mobilise the contract.
<b>8. Stakeholders</b>	System users were consulted early in the development of the specification to determine their priorities, and identify any issues with the existing service and improvements required. Users were represented on the Mosaic Advisory Board which oversees the development of the system under the current and new contract.

## Variation Review

<b>9. Assessment of project against key milestones</b>	The key timeframe of implementation by October 2022 was achieved. Sufficient time was built into the project timetable to procure and migrate data from one system to another, but this was not required.
<b>10. Assessment of project against Scope</b>	The scope of the project was not changed following Gateway 1. The detailed design and requirements of the service were developed and refined following consultation with social care and education staff (users of the system).
<b>11. Risks and issues</b>	<p>As reported at Gateway 5, only one foreseen risk was realised – financial stability of potential providers.</p> <p>A financial appraisal of the existing supplier identified significant financial risk, but this risk reduced to an acceptable level following the acquisition of the supplier by the Access Group. As an ongoing mitigation, the supplier has been included as a strategic provider in the business continuity plan for the Department of Community and Children’s Services. Regular business continuity testing has been included in account meetings.</p>
<b>12. Transition to business as usual (BAU)</b>	<p>The Information and Systems Officer within Community and Children’s Services is responsible for the day-to-day operation of the Social Care Case Management System. The strategic oversight is through the Mosaic Advisory Board, chaired by the Assistant Director (People). No mobilisation plan was required because the incumbent provider is the new provider, and BAU continued between contract periods.</p> <p>There was a delay in the signing of the contract due to negotiations between the City’s and the provider’s legal teams on liability limits. The clause was agreed in January 2023. However, this meant the original framework documents had been superseded and pricing had been revised, leading to a contract increase of £49,536. The revised contract was agreed and signed in April 2023, and the Technology Category Board authorised the increased expenditure. The Chamberlain was consulted through the Mosaic Advisory Board and the Category Board, and advised that the planned cost increase could be met through the social care grant. The provider continued to supply the service on the terms of the preceding contract until the new contract was agreed.</p>

## Value Review

<p><b>13. Budget</b></p>	<table border="1" data-bbox="491 342 1366 495"> <tr> <td data-bbox="499 342 783 495"><i>Estimated Outturn Cost (G2)</i></td> <td data-bbox="783 342 1366 495">           Estimated cost (including risk):            £219,264            Estimated cost (excluding risk):            £219,264         </td> </tr> </table> <table border="1" data-bbox="491 533 1366 1021"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="499 533 783 607"></th> <th data-bbox="783 533 1082 607"><i>At authority to start work (G5)</i></th> <th data-bbox="1082 533 1366 607"><i>Final oOutturn Cost</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="499 607 783 645"><i>Fees</i></td> <td data-bbox="783 607 1082 645">£ 0</td> <td data-bbox="1082 607 1366 645">£ 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="499 645 783 683"><i>Staff Costs</i></td> <td data-bbox="783 645 1082 683">£ 2,000</td> <td data-bbox="1082 645 1366 683">£ 2,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="499 683 783 721"><i>Works</i></td> <td data-bbox="783 683 1082 721">£ 0</td> <td data-bbox="1082 683 1366 721">£ 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="499 721 783 759"><i>Purchases</i></td> <td data-bbox="783 721 1082 759">£ 0</td> <td data-bbox="1082 721 1366 759">£ 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="499 759 783 833"><i>Other Capital Expenditure</i></td> <td data-bbox="783 759 1082 833">£ 0</td> <td data-bbox="1082 759 1366 833">£ 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="499 833 783 907"><i>Costed Risk Provision</i></td> <td data-bbox="783 833 1082 907">£ 0</td> <td data-bbox="1082 833 1366 907">£ 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="499 907 783 945"><i>Recharges</i></td> <td data-bbox="783 907 1082 945">£ 0</td> <td data-bbox="1082 907 1366 945">£ 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="499 945 783 983"><i>Other*</i></td> <td data-bbox="783 945 1082 983">£ 217,264</td> <td data-bbox="1082 945 1366 983">£ 266,800</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="499 983 783 1021"><i>Total</i></td> <td data-bbox="783 983 1082 1021">£ 219,264</td> <td data-bbox="1082 983 1366 1021">£ 268,800</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="491 1099 1398 1205">*Other: revenue costs, including annual licences, hosting, maintenance and managed service costs for the whole contract financed from the local risk budget.</p> <p data-bbox="491 1245 1414 1317">The final account for this project did not require verification as no capital expenditure was incurred.</p>	<i>Estimated Outturn Cost (G2)</i>	Estimated cost (including risk): £219,264 Estimated cost (excluding risk): £219,264		<i>At authority to start work (G5)</i>	<i>Final oOutturn Cost</i>	<i>Fees</i>	£ 0	£ 0	<i>Staff Costs</i>	£ 2,000	£ 2,000	<i>Works</i>	£ 0	£ 0	<i>Purchases</i>	£ 0	£ 0	<i>Other Capital Expenditure</i>	£ 0	£ 0	<i>Costed Risk Provision</i>	£ 0	£ 0	<i>Recharges</i>	£ 0	£ 0	<i>Other*</i>	£ 217,264	£ 266,800	<i>Total</i>	£ 219,264	£ 268,800
<i>Estimated Outturn Cost (G2)</i>	Estimated cost (including risk): £219,264 Estimated cost (excluding risk): £219,264																																
	<i>At authority to start work (G5)</i>	<i>Final oOutturn Cost</i>																															
<i>Fees</i>	£ 0	£ 0																															
<i>Staff Costs</i>	£ 2,000	£ 2,000																															
<i>Works</i>	£ 0	£ 0																															
<i>Purchases</i>	£ 0	£ 0																															
<i>Other Capital Expenditure</i>	£ 0	£ 0																															
<i>Costed Risk Provision</i>	£ 0	£ 0																															
<i>Recharges</i>	£ 0	£ 0																															
<i>Other*</i>	£ 217,264	£ 266,800																															
<i>Total</i>	£ 219,264	£ 268,800																															
<p><b>14. Investment</b></p>	<p>The project is not an invest to-save or revenue-generating opportunity.</p>																																
<p><b>15. Assessment of project against SMART objectives</b></p>	<p>The project met all of its SMART objectives (including the timetable as detailed above):</p> <ol data-bbox="539 1469 1382 1693" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The system meets statutory requirements and identified good practice.</li> <li>2) Safe and professional experience for service users and carers with co-ordination of all records in relation to a service user or carer and their family.</li> <li>3) Accurate reporting of performance and budget trends.</li> </ol>																																
<p><b>16. Key benefits realised</b></p>	<p>All key benefits outlined in the Gateway 2 report have been realised:</p> <ol data-bbox="491 1839 1455 1937" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The City of London Corporation continues to be at the forefront of excellent social work practice and is recognised as such by regulatory bodies.</li> </ol>																																

	<p>2. Accurate data dashboards detailing past and future performance trends have led to improved practice.</p> <p>3. Professional users and recipients of social care services enjoy an enhanced digital experience.</p>
--	--

### **Lessons Learned and Recommendations**

<b>17. Positive reflections</b>	The early formation of a cross-departmental project team brought together experience and skills so that the specification and procurement process was smooth. The use of the framework enabled a shorter procurement timetable and use of framework documentation.
<b>18. Improvement reflections</b>	Negotiating the liability limit in the contract has delayed the signing of the contract, which in turn had cost implications. A potential improvement could be an internal agreement to a City approach to liabilities (with Legal and Insurance being the key teams involved).
<b>19. Sharing best practice</b>	All Community and Children's Services IT projects are used to further develop the good practice document for IT specifications within the department.
<b>20. AOB</b>	None

### **Appendices**

<b>Appendix 1</b>	Project Coversheet
-------------------	--------------------

### **Contact**

<b>Report Author</b>	Sarah Greenwood
<b>Email Address</b>	sarah.greenwood@cityoflondon.gov.uk
<b>Telephone Number</b>	020 7332 3594