Cost to resolve all issues

(on completion)

£0.00

## **Appendix 5** City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register Project name: Bunhill, Barbican and Golden Lane HNP Unique project identifier: 12240 Total est cost (exc risk) £283500 Corporate Risk Matrix score table PM's overall risk rating Medium Avg risk pre-mitigation 6.6 Avg risk post-mitigation 6 12 Red risks (open) 0 8 Amber risks (open) 5 8 Green risks (open) 2 Costed risks identified (All) £0.00 Costed risk as % of total estimated cost of project Costed risk pre-mitigation (open) £0.00 0% Costed risk post-mitigation (open) £0.00 0% **Costed Risk Provision requested** £0.00 0% CRP as % of total estimated cost of project (1) Compliance/Regulatory 8.0 £0.00 0 1 0 (2) Financial £0.00 0 2 5.0 (3) Reputation 2 9.0 £0.00 0 2 0 (4) Contractual/Partnership 5.0 £0.00 0 (5) H&S/Wellbeing £0.00 0 0 0 0 0.0 (6) Safeguarding 0 0.0 £0.00 0 0 0 (7) Innovation 0 £0.00 0 0 0 0.0 (8) Technology 0 0.0 £0.00 0 0 0 (9) Environmental 0 £0.00 0.0 (10) Physical 0.0 £0.00 0 0 0 Issues (open) Open Issues 0 0 0 0 0 All Issues All Issues 0 0 0 0

Total CRP used to date

£0.00

City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register															_			i			
Project Name: Unique project identifier:		Bunhill, Barbican and Golden Lane HNP			PM's overall risk rating:				CRP requested this gateway	£ -		unn	Average unmitigated risk		6.6			Open Risks			
		12240					l estimated cost (exc risk):	£ 283,500		Total CRP used to date	ę .			Average mitigated risk score		4.7		Closed Risks		4	
eneral risk cla	ssification									Mitigation actions							Ownership	& Action			
sk Gateway	Category	Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classification n pre- mitigation	Impact o Classificatio n pre- mitigation	Risk score	Costed impact pre- mitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N	Confidence in the estimation	Mitigating actions	Mitigation cost (£)	Likeliho Classifi ion pos mitigat	t- ion post-	mitigation (£)	Mitiga	CRP used to date	Date raised	Named Departmenta Risk Manager/ Coordinator	Risk owner (Named Officer or External Party)	Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to	Comment(s)
3	(4) Contractual/Part nership	The City and Islington Council do not agree traffic management changes in the project area.	A wider area Healthy neighbourhood plan will not be produced.	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Regular officer working group meetings between the two councils will coordinate proposals. Significant issues will be reported to management and Members if required.	£0.00	) Possible	Serious	0.03	6	£0.00	22/05/2023	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		
3	(3) Reputation	Stakeholder groups such as local residents associations or schools do not support proposed changes to traffic management.	Engagement with local stakeholders will be continued.	Possible	Major	12	20.03			The project team will engage with representatives of the community and the schools as the proposed designs develoo.	£0.00	) Unlikely	Major	£0.0	8	20.00	24/07/2020	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		
3	(3) Reputation	The proposals do not meet the expectations of stakeholders.	Stakeholder support for the project will not be forthcoming	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Consultation on the draft proposals will articulate the benefit of the proposals and concerns will be taken on board.	£0.03	Possible	Serious	£0.0	6	£0.00	24/07/2020	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver	01/01/2025	Public engagement indic support for the proposals Plan.
3	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Changes in political leadership within the City, LBI or TFL	The project is no longer supported or withdrawn.	Unlikely	Major	8	20.03			Informing members of the City and LBI of the progress and benefits of the project and identifying in the Transport Strategy delivery plan.	£0.00	) Rare	Major	£0.0	4	20.00	24/07/2020	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		
3		Issues or delays in approvals for any required modelling.	Delays and possible increase to project programme.	Unlikely	Serious	4	20.03			Early and regular meetings with TFL to understand their approval proceedures.	£0.00	Unlikely	Serious	£0.0	4	£0.00	24/07/2020	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		
3	(4) Contractual/Part nership	Some or all of further data that is required cannot be collected due to survey companies having no capacity to deliver the services.	Delay and possible increased cost to project prgramme.	Unlikely	Serious	4	00.03			Procure the services as an open tender to increase the possibility of a company able to undertake the surveys, and complete the procurement exercise as early as possible.	£0.0i	) Unlikely	Serious	£0.0	4	£0.00	24/07/2020	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver	01/01/2025	All surveys for the HNP completed and draft Pla produced accordingly.
3	(2) Financial	Insufficient funds or loss of funding source.	Will delay project progression or result in the cancellation of the project.	Possible	Serious	6	20.00			Investigate further funding options or reduce the scope of the project.	£0.0£	Possible	Serious	£0.0	6	00.03	24/07/2020	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver	01/04/2025	Funding has been sufficie progress to adoption of t
3	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Brexit or external factors affect labour costs.	Higher or lower costs for consultancy services	Unlikely	Serious	4	20.00			Review each cost at HNP stage	£0.00	Unlikely	Serious	£0.0	4	£0.00	24/07/2020	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver	01/04/2025	Funding has been sufficie progress to adoption of t
3	(3) Reputation	Insufficient funds for the projects identified in the plan	Objectives of the Transport Strategy and the Climate Action Strategy will not be met.	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Identify funding opportuities as the plan is developed. Proposals will reflect these opportunities.	£0.03	Possible	Minor	£0.0	3	£0.00	24/07/2020	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		