



2. Cover

Please Note:

- The BCF quarterly reports are categorised as 'Management Information' and data from them will be published in an aggregated form on the NHSE website. This will include any narrative section. Also a reminder that as is usually the case with public body information, all BCF information collected here is subject to Freedom of Information requests.
- At a local level it is for the HWB to decide what information it needs to publish as part of wider local government reporting and transparency requirements. Until BCF information is published, recipients of BCF reporting information (including recipients who access any information placed on the BCE) are prohibited from making this information available on any public domain or providing this information for the purposes of journalism or research without prior consent from the HWB (where it concerns a single HWB) or the BCF national partners for the aggregated information.
- All information will be supplied to BCF partners to inform policy development.
- This template is password protected to ensure data integrity and accurate aggregation of collected information. A resubmission may be required if this is breached.

Health and Wellbeing Board:	City of London					
Completed by:	Ellie Ward					
E-mail:	ellie.ward@cityoflondon.gov.uk					
Contact number:	020 7332 1535					
Has this report been signed off by (or on behalf of) the HWB Chair at the time						
of submission? (Please provide name of HWB Chair)	No					
		<< Please enter using the format,				
If no, please indicate when the report is expected to be signed off:	Fri 19/09/2025 DD/MM/YYYY					

Checklist

Complete:

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercarefundteam@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'.

Complete									
	Complete:								
2. Cover	Yes	For further guidance on requirements please							
3. National Conditions	Yes	refer back to guidance sheet - tab 1.							
4. Metrics	Yes								
5. Expenditure	Yes								
	<< Link to the Guidance s	<u>heet</u>							

3. National Conditions

City of London	
	1
No	
30/09/2025	
In progress	
Confirmation	If the answer is "No" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met in the quarter and mitigating actions underway to support compliance with the condition:
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	
	No 30/09/2025 In progress Confirmation Yes Yes

<u>Checklist</u> Complete:
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

4. Metrics for 2025-26

Coloctod 2	Uoal+h	and	Mollhoing	Doord.	

opulation of 65+

ity of London		

For metrics time series and more details:

BCF dashboard link
BCF 25/26 Metrics Handbook

For metrics handbook and reporting schedule:

4.1 Emergency admissions

Actuals + Original Plan		Apr 24 Actual	May 24 Actual		Jul 24 Actual	Aug 24 Actual	Sep 24 Actual	Oct 24 Actual		Dec 24 Actual	Jan 25 Actual	Feb 25 Actual	Mar 25 Actual
	Rate	1,076.0	1,076.0	1,434.7	1,076.0	717.4	1,793.4	1,434.7	1,076.0	1,793.4	2,152.1	1,076.0	1,076.0
	Number of												
	Admissions 65+	15	15	20	15	10	25	20	15	25	30	15	15
Emergency admissions to hospital for people aged	Population of 65+*	1,394.0	1,394.0		1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0		1,394.0			1,394.0
65+ per 100,000 population		Apr 25	May 25		Jul 25	Aug 25	Sep 25		Nov 25	Dec 25	Jan 26	Feb 26	Mar 26
os: per 100,000 population		Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan
	Rate	1,076.0	1,076.0	1,434.7	1,076.0	717.4	1,793.4	1,434.7	1,434.7	1,076.0	1,076.0	717.4	1,076.0
	Number of												
	Admissions 65+	15	15	20	15	10	25	20	20	15	15	10	15
	Population of 65+	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0

Do you want to update your Emergency Admission metric plan?		No											Please set out how the ambition has been reached, including analysis of historic data, impact of planned efforts and how the target aligns for locally agreed plans such as Acute trusts and social care. \downarrow
	Apr 25	May 25	Jun 25	Jul 25	Aug 25	Sep 25	Oct 25	Nov 25	Dec 25	Jan 26	Feb 26	Mar 26	National to the continued of the latest and the continued to the continued to
Updated Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	What is the rationale behind the change in plan?
Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Number of Admissions 65+													

1,394.0 1,394.0 1,394.0 1,394.0 1,394.0 1,394.0 1,394.0 1,394.0 1,394.0 1,394.0 1,394.0

Assessment of whether goal has been met:	On track to meet goal	
	N/A	
If a goal has not been met please provide a short explanation, including noting any key mitigating actions.		

It's early in the year to say we won't meet the goal; particularly as the only data available is April. We were 33% higher than planned although small numbers can significantly impact on rates.

You can also use this box to provide a very brief explanation of overall progress if you wish.

Did you use local data to assess against this headline metric?	No
If yes, which local data sources are being used?	

4.2 Discharge Delays

	Apr 24	May 24	Jun 24	Jul 24	Aug 24	Sep 24	Oct 24	Nov 24	Dec 24	Jan 25	Feb 25	Mar 25
Actuals	Actual											
Average length of discharge delay for all acute adult patients (this calculates the % of patients discharged after their DRD, multiplied												
by the average number of days)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.89	0.16	2.29	1.88	1.16	1.19	0.53
Proportion of adult patients discharged from acute hospitals on their discharge ready date	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	77.1%	94.7%	80.6%	84.4%	86.3%	87.1%	78.9%
For those adult patients not discharged on DRD, average number of days from DRD to discharge	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.88	3.00	11.83	12.00	8.43	9.25	2.50
Ü	Apr 25	May 25	Jun 25		Aug 25	Sep 25	Oct 25	Nov 25	Dec 25	Jan 26	Feb 26	
Original Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan
Average length of discharge delay for all acute adult patients	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84
Proportion of adult patients discharged from acute hospitals on their												
discharge ready date	86.0%	86.0%	86.0%	86.0%	86.0%	86.0%	86.0%	86.0%	86.0%	86.0%	86.0%	86.0%
For those adult patients not discharged on DRD, average number of days from DRD to discharge	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00

Do you want to update your Discharge Delay metric plan?

Please set out how the ambition has been reached, including analysis of historic data, impact of planned efforts and how the target aligns for locally agreed plans such as Acute trusts and social care. ψ

Updated Plan	Apr 25 Plan	May 25 Plan		Jul 25 Plan				Nov 25 Plan					What is the rationale behind the change in plan?	
Average length of discharge delay for all acute adult patients	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		

Proportion of adult patients discharged from acute hospitals on their discharge ready date For those adult patients not discharged on DRD, average number of days from DRD to discharge.				[[1		1
For those adult patients not discharged on DRD, average number of days	Proportion of adult patients discharged from acute hospitals on their	i		i		į	i .		İ
For those adult patients not discharged on DRD, average number of days	discharge ready date								
	from DRD to discharge	İ		İ					į

Assessment of whether goal has been met:	On track to meet goal	
If a goal has not been met please provide a short explanation, including noting any key mitigating actions.	N/A	
You can also use this box to provide a very brief explanation of overall progress if you wish.	Our average for April and May was 74.4 For those patients that were delayed, ti	1% so lower than the 86% target. he average days delayed were 2.63 so considerably faster than the 6 days planned.

Did you use local data to assess against this headline metric?	No
If yes, which local data sources are being used?	

4.3 Residential Admissions

				2025-26	2025-26	2025-26	2025-26
		2023-24	2024-25	Plan Q1	Plan Q2	Plan Q3	Plan Q4
		Full Year	Full Year	(April 25-	(July 25-	(Oct 25-Dec	(Jan 26-Mar
Actuals + Original Plan		Actual	CLD Actual	June 25)	Sept 25)	25)	26)
Long-term support needs of older people (age 65	Rate	215.2	717.4	143.5	143.5	286.9	286.9
and over) met by admission to residential and	Number of						
nursing care homes, per 100,000 population	admissions	3.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	4.0
nuising care nomes, per 100,000 population							
	Population of 65+*	1394.0	1394.0	1394.0	1394.0	1394.0	1394.0

Do you want to update your Residential Admissions metric plan?

Please enter plan number of admissions within the specific quarter

Please set out how the ambition has been reached, including analysis of historic data, impact of planned efforts and how the target aligns for locally agreed plans such as Acute trusts and social care. ψ

5. Income & Expenditure

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

City of London

	2025-26		
		Updated Total Plan	Q1 Year-to-Date Actual
Source of Funding	Planned Income	Income for 25-26	Expenditure
DFG	£46,024	£46,024	£0
Minimum NHS Contribution	£960,444	£960,444	
Local Authority Better Care Grant	£399,287	£399,287	
Additional LA Contribution	£100,000	£100,000	
Additional NHS Contribution	£0	£0	
Total	£1,505,755	£1,505,755	

	Original	Updated	% variance
Planned Expenditure	£1,505,755	£1,505,755	0%

		% of Planned Income
Q1 Year-to-Date Actual Expenditure	£352,433	23%

If Q1 Year-to-Date Actual Expenditure is exactly 25% of planned income, please provide some context around how accurate this figure is or whether there are limitations.

If planned expenditure by activity has
changed since the original plan, please
confirm that this has been agreed by

N/A

Checklist

Complete:

Yes

Yes

Yes

VAC

Yes

Yes

local partners. If that change in activity expenditure is greater than 5% of total BCF expenditure, please use this box to provide a brief summary of the change.