# **City of London Corporation Committee Report**

Committee(s):	Dated:
Digital Services Committee	23/09/2025
Subject:	Non-Public report:
Digital Information Technology Service (DITS) –Service	Non-Fublic report.
Delivery Summary	For Information
This proposal:	Providing Excellent Services
delivers Corporate Plan 2024-29 outcomes	
Does this proposal require extra revenue and/or	No
capital spending?	
If so, how much?	N/A
<u> </u>	
What is the source of Funding?	N/A
Has this Funding Source been agreed with the	N/A
Chamberlain's Department?	
Report of:	The Chamberlain
•	
Report author:	Dawn Polain – Head of
Nopoli datilol.	Service Delivery
	(CoL/CoLP)

# Summary

This is an overview of the current service provision as managed by DITS. Performance is measured monthly therefore for the purposes of this report, the most recent reporting month is July 2025.

The services managed by DITS for the City of London (CoL) and City of London Police (CoLP) have been stable.

# Recommendation(s)

No recommendations to advise during this reporting period.

## Main Report

### Background

1. General performance across all CoL/CoLP Incident Response and Resolution KPIs remains consistent with previous months.

July performance figures for CoL and CoLP were:

CoL: Incident Response 89% Met, Incident Resolve 96% Met CoLP: Incident Response 84% Met, Incident Resolve 91% Met

#### **Current Position**

2 There were no P1 Incidents reported for CoL during this reporting period which were within the responsibility of DITS Resolver groups.

3 There was 1 P1 incident reported for CoLP during this reporting period which was within the responsibility of DITS.

This incident was related to the unavailability of Office 365 Apps and was caused by an approved change. The change was amended, and service was restored. The Resolution KPI was achieved.

### Key service provider status:

4. There were no Priority 1 incidents recorded by Service Providers for this reporting period.

#### Service improvements and highlights

- The Service Management function have re-launched a Continual Service Improvement (CSI) Process within DITS.
   All Resolver Teams are encouraged to submit potential service improvements to the CSI Board for review and progression.
- 6. Work is continuing to review and refine the current Secure Cities Programme (SCP) support model, to better manage the operational service for CoLP. A proposal paper has been created and will be available for review by key stakeholders during September.
- 7. Service Management continue to be engaged with both the Future Network Programme (FNP) and the new Print Services contract. Service Management guidance will be provided throughout the onboarding process for each Supplier.
- 8. The Service Management team are working closely with the DITS Project Office to ensure that Service Operating Model (SOM) documentation is completed for any new Service which is introduced into the live environment.

  This facilitates the move of services from the project environment into business as usual (BAU), enabling a high level of support and knowledge from go live.

#### **Service Metrics**

- 9. The COL and COLP P4 Resolution KPI continues to be achieved across CoL and CoLP, with a performance KPI of 97% and 95% respectively.
- 10.P3 Incident performance continues to be a focus for all Resolver Teams and early indications show that performance has improved within CoL for August.

### **Options**

11. None to advise this reporting period.

### **Proposals**

12. None to advise this reporting period.

#### **Key Data**

13. As detailed in Appendix 1

### **Corporate & Strategic Implications - None**

#### Conclusion

14. The DITS Service Management team continue to pro-actively monitor DITS services and support capabilities to identify areas for improvement. The goal is to ensure that services constantly evolve to improve the overall customer experience.

#### **Appendices**

Appendix 1 – CoL and CoLP Performance Stats

#### Dawn Polain

**DITS Head of Service Delivery** 

T: 07895 330693

E: dawn.polain@cityoflondon.gov.uk

# Appendix 1 – Current Performance against Service Metrics COL/LC In House Incident Performance

## Executive Performance Metrics | COL/LC In House

2.162		February 2025			N	larch 2025		April 2025	i		May 2025			June 2025		July 2025			
CoL/LC	KPI Metrics	Total	КРІ9	6	Total	КРІ%		Total	КРІ%		Total	КРІ%		Total	КРІ%		Total	КРІ%	
	Total Incidents (Logged)	473	-		522	-		555	-		470	-		513	1		670	•	
	Total Incidents (Closed)	464	•		510	•		548	•		516	•		490	•		608	ı	
	98% of all P1 Incidents responded < 15 minutes	0	•	Î	0	•	$\Longrightarrow$	0	•		0	•		1	100%	1	0	ı	$\Longrightarrow$
Service Performance Measure (In House)	98% of all P2 incidents responded to < 15 minutes	3	0%	Ţ	0	-	1	0	-		1	0%	Ţ	1	0%	$\stackrel{\Rightarrow}{\Longrightarrow}$	0	ı	1
	95% of all P3 incidents responded to < 2 hours	28	79%	Ţ	26	81%	1	18	50%	1	8	62%	1	12	42%	1	15	47%	1
	95% of all P4 incidents responded to < 8 hours	433	92%	•	483	93%	1	530	96%	1	478	95%	Ţ	476	90%	1	588	90%	
	98% of all P1 Incidents resolved < 2 hours.	0	ı	Î	0	•	$\Longrightarrow$	0	ı	$\Longrightarrow$	0	•	$\Rightarrow$	1	100%	1	0	ı	$\Longrightarrow$
	98% of all P2 Incidents resolved < 4 hours	3	0%	•	0	-	1	0	-	$\Rightarrow$	1	100%	1	1	0%	I	0	•	1
	90% of all P3 incidents resolved < 8 hours	28	79%	1	26	81%	1	18	78%	1	6	46%	Ţ	13	77%	1	15	60%	1
	90% of all P4 incidents resolved < 5 business days	433	98%	1	483	97%	1	430	97%	$\Rightarrow$	482	96%	1	606	93%	1	588	97%	1



## CoLP In House Incident Performance

# Executive Performance Metrics | COLP In House

	KPI Metrics	Fe	ebruary 202	25	March 2025			Ap	oril 2025		М	ay 2025		Ju	ne 2025		July 2025		
COLP		Total	КРІ	%	Total	КРІ %		Total	otal KPI %		Total	КРІ %		Total	КРІ %		Total	КРІ %	
	Total Incidents (Logged)	624	•	•	627	-	-	632	-	-	534	-	-	598	-	-	516	-	-
	Total Incidents (Closed)	527	-	-	684	-	-	653	-	-	564	-	-	575	-	-	568	-	-
	98% of all P1 Incidents responded < 15 minutes	0	-	$\Rightarrow$	1	0%		0	-	1	0	-	$\longrightarrow$	0	-	$\Longrightarrow$	1	0%	1
	98% of all P2 incidents responded to < 15 minutes	2	100%	1	2	100%	$\Rightarrow$	1	0%	Ţ	3	0%	$\longrightarrow$	1	100%	1	2	50%	1
Service Performa	95% of all P3 incidents responded to < 2 hours	30	43%		47	70%	1	39	56%	Ţ	34	44%		28	57%	1	52	31%	Ţ
nce Measure (In House)	95% of all P4 incidents responded to < 8 hours	495	88%		634	88%	$\Rightarrow$	613	89%	1	527	87%	1	546	90%	1	513	90%	<b>→</b>
	98% of all P1 Incidents resolved < 2 hours.	0	•	$\bigoplus$	1	0%		0	-	1	0	-	$\longrightarrow$	0	-		1	100%	$\longrightarrow$
	98% of all P2 Incidents resolved < 4 hours	2	100%	1	2	100%	$\Rightarrow$	1	100%	$\Longrightarrow$	3	67%		1	100%	1	2	100%	$\Longrightarrow$
	90% of all P3 incidents resolved < 8 hours	30	77%		47	66%		39	64%	I.	34	59%		28	68%	1	52	44%	
	90% of all P4 incidents resolved < 5 business days	495	98%		634	95%		613	95%	$\Longrightarrow$	527	95%	$\Longrightarrow$	546	98%	1	513	95%	

