

Committee(s): Inner North East London Joint Health and Overview Scrutiny Committee – For discussion	Dated: 7 October 2025
Subject: The Scrutiny Report	Public
Report of: Town Clerk	For Discussion
Report author: Isaac Thomas, Town Clerk's Department	

Summary

This is the first meeting of the municipal year. Members are invited to view the Forward Plan at Appendix 1 and make any suggestions for items to be considered in the 2025-26 cycle.

The Committee is invited to review and comment on the Action Tracker from the previous meeting (Appendix 2).

The Committee is invited to review the Recommendations Tracker (Appendix 3).

Recommendation(s)

Members are asked to:

- Note the report and appendices.
- Make any suggestions for items for the Forward Plan (2025-26 cycle).

Main Report

Background

The Scrutiny report was received by the Inner North East London Joint Health and Overview Scrutiny Committee at its last meeting on 13 May 2025. An updated Scrutiny Report is now due for discussion.

Action Tracker

1. The Action Tracker captures all actions required of officers by the committee at the previous scrutiny meeting and provides an update on progress.
2. Actions are specific tasks requested by the committee for officers to complete. Typically, they are requests for officers to provide information not included in reports but of use to the committee's work.
3. Actions captured in the previous meeting are expected to have been completed by the next meeting. Where this has not been possible, reasons for this will be captured in the Action Tracker.

4. Completed Actions approved by the committee will then be removed from the working Action Tracker to a store of completed actions.
5. The Committee is invited to review and approve on the Action Tracker from the previous meeting (Appendix 2).

Recommendation Tracker

6. The Recommendation Tracker captures all recommendations made by the committee at the previous scrutiny meeting.
7. Recommendations capture the committee's conclusions on how services should change or improve. For example, recommendations could be for officers to work more closely with external partners, to consider the impact of a service on EDI, or to bring more major decisions to pre-decision scrutiny.
8. Recommendations require a written response from officers.
9. Recommendations made in the previous meeting are expected to have been responded to by the next meeting. Where this has not been possible, reasons for this will be captured in the Recommendations Tracker.
10. Recommendation responses approved by the committee will then be removed from the Recommendation Tracker to a store of completed recommendations.
11. The Committee is invited to review and approve responses to recommendations from the previous meeting.

Corporate & Strategic Implications

Strategic implications:

12. There are no strategic implications arising from this report.

Financial implications:

13. There are no financial implications arising from this report.

Resource implications:

14. There are no resource implications arising from this report.

Legal implications:

15. There are no legal implications arising from this report.

Risk implications:

16. There are no risk implications arising from this report.

Equalities implications:

17. There are no equalities implications arising from this report.

Climate implications:

18. There are no climate implications arising from this report.

Security implications:

19. There are no security implications arising from this report.

Conclusion

The Committee is invited to review and comment on the Forward Plan, as well as the Action Tracker and Recommendations Tracker from the previous meeting.

Appendices

- Appendix 1 – Forward Plan
- Appendix 2 – Action Tracker (2024-25)
- Appendix 3 – Recommendations Tracker (2024-25)

Isaac Thomas

Personal Assistant and Member Services Officer

E: isaac.thomas@cityoflondon.gov.uk