Committees: Corporate Projects Board [for information] Housing Management & Almshouses Sub Committee [for decision] Projects and Procurements Sub [for information]	Dates: 10 September 2025 21 October 2025 18 November 2025
Subject: Renewal of Roof Coverings at Dron House, Tower Hamlets Unique Project Identifier:	Gateway 6: Outcome Report Light
Report of: Director of Community & Children's Services Report Author: David Downing, Asset Programme Manager	For Decision
PUBLIC	

Summary

1. Status update	Project Description: Following the completion of detailed condition surveys, the main roof coverings at Dron House, Tower Hamlets, were identified as needing urgent replacement to prevent further water ingress and subsequent internal damage and loss. At Gateway 5, the contractor M H Goldsmith were appointed to carry out the work.
	RAG Status: Green (Green at last report to Committee)
	Risk Status: Low (Low at last report to committee)
	Costed Risk Provision Utilised: £0 (of which X amount was drawn down at the last report to Committee);
	Final Outturn Cost: £399,984.00
2. Next steps	Requested Decisions:
and requested	1. To note the content of this report,
decisions	2. To note the lessons learnt,
	3. To authorise closure of this project.

conclusions eliminating water ingress and pr		The project was successfully delivered with the replacement flat roof eliminating water ingress and providing new, modern and efficient roof coverings warrantied for a period of 20 years
		The final outturn costs exceeded the allocated Gateway 5 project budget by a sum of £1,034.

Main Report

Design & Delivery Review

4. Design into delivery	The project design worked well and has formed the basis for roof replacements subsequently carried out at other City housing blocks.
5. Options appraisal	The selected option to procure a contractor to deliver the works as required worked well.
6. Procurement route	The works contract was successfully procured via open tender. The tender generated an appropriate and manageable number of competitively priced bids for evaluation. This procurement route can be recommended for future projects of this nature.
	Procurement reference: itt_COL_10171
7. Skills base	The City of London project team had the required skills and experience to deliver the project. All aspects of project management for this project were handled internally.
8. Stakeholders	Due to the nature of the works carried out which entailed minimal disruption for residents, the extent of external stakeholder engagement required to successfully develop and deliver this project was low beyond the statutory Section 20 consultation.

Variation Review

9. Assessment of project	The project progressed as expected throughout the design period with a main works contractor appointed as anticipated at Gateway
against key milestones	5. During the delivery phase, the contract ran as expected throughout its duration without variation.
10. Assessment	The scope of the project remained unchanged throughout both the
of project	design period and project delivery.
against Scope	
11.Risks and issues	This low-risk project proceeded as planned with no significant risks realised during the delivery phase following the appointment of the selected contractor.
	Costed Risk Provision was not applicable to this project.

The new installations have a defect liability period of 12 months commencing from the date of practical completion with a comprehensive 20-year insurance backed warranty. The ongoing management of the new roofs has been handed over to the Housing Repairs & Maintenance team.

Value Review

13. Budget				
	Estimated	Estimated cost (incli	uding risk):	
	Outturn Cost (G2)	£385,500		
		Estimated cost (excl	luding risk):	
		£385,500		
		1		
		At Authority to	Final Outturn Cost	
		Start work (G5)		
	Staff Costs	£5,000	£0	
	Works	£393,950	£399,984	
	Total	£398,950	£399,984	
	There is a total over £1,034.	spend on the approve	ed Gateway 5 budget	of
	21,0011			
	Final accounts have	been subject to an in	ndependent verificatio	n
	check, undertaken b	y a suitably experiend	ced officer within the	
	relevant implementir	ng department.		
14.Investment	N/A			
15. Assessment of project against		fully replaced the ide ake up Dron House E	ntified main flat roof a state.	reas
SMART	The successful com	pletion of the works h	as	
objectives		aks and has provided		
		gs warrantied for a pe		
	In replacing the defe	ective roof, the City ha	as ensured that its	
	housing stock contin	ues to meet the Dece	ent Homes Standard a	and
		ons to tenants and lea		
16. Key benefits		roof leaks and preve		
realised		image and damage to		
		•	lation complete with a	a
		ear insurance backed	,	
		City's Housing assets	was maintained.	
	4. Compliance with	statutory measures.		

Lessons Learned and Recommendations

17. Positive	This straightforward project was delivered without issue
reflections	and successfully achieved all its objectives with only a
	minimal overspend (£1,034) reported.

18.Improvement reflections	 The contractor, M H Goldsmith & Sons, performed well over the period of the contract and can be recommended for future works of this nature. The works were directly managed by a former Assistant Director of Housing who has now let the City. Only a limited consideration of lessons learned has been possible due to the limited documentation made available; this in itself should be taken as a lesson learned to ensure key documentation is accessible when project staff leave the City.
19. Sharing best practice	Dissemination of key information through team and project staff briefings. Lessons learned have been logged and recorded on departmental SharePoint.
20.AOB	None

<u>Appendices</u>

Appendix 1 Project Coversheet	
-------------------------------	--

Contact

Report Author	David Downing
Email Address	David.Downing@cityoflondon.gov.uk
Telephone Number	020 7332 1645