Committees:	Dates:
Corporate Project Board: For Decision	10 September 2025
Housing Management & Almshouses Sub-Committee: For decision	21 October 2025
Projects and Procurement Sub-Committee: For decision	18 November 2025
Subject: Dron House Window Replacement and Common Parts Redecorations	Gateway 6: Outcome Report Regular
Unique Project Identifier: 11548	
Report of:	For Decision
Director of Community & Children's Services	
Report Author:	
Jason Crawford, Asset Programme Manager	

**PUBLIC** 

### **Summary**

1.	Status update	<b>Project Description:</b> This project addressed the need for the Window Replacements at Dron House and to establish a platform for programming the future cyclical redecorations for the internal and external common parts across the Estate.
		RAG Status: Green (Red at last report to Committee)
		Risk Status: Low (Medium at last report to committee)
		Costed Risk Provision Utilised: n/a
		Final Outturn Cost: £1,641,329.83
2.		Requested Decisions:
requested decisions	=	<ol> <li>To note the content of this report,</li> <li>To note the lessons learnt,</li> <li>To authorise closure of this project.</li> </ol>
3. Key conclusions		The windows replacements and common nexts redescribes
	noy concludione	The windows replacements and common parts redecorations were delivered as per the project specification and the project achieved a satisfaction survey score of 86%.
	noy concludione	were delivered as per the project specification and the project

b. the need to undertake an external appointment to carry out the contract administration duties
c. unforeseen additional timber repairs to the dormer windows frames
d. complete replacement of balcony floor coverings
e. additional time required to resolve a planning enforcement notice, which had been issued erroneously early in the delivery phase.
An issues report requesting additional budget of £54,225 was approved in April 2023.

# Main Report

# Design & Delivery Review

4. Design into delivery	The overall Design of the project ensured successful delivery with no significant issues, other than those noted in section 3 above.	
5. Options appraisal	Overall, the chosen option had a successful outcome and all deliverables were achieved.	
6. Procurement	An open tender was utilised and the appointed contractor carried out	
route	all the works to a very high standard, working collaboratively with the	
	project delivery team to achieve a successful outcome.	
	Procurement reference number: 11762	
7. Skills base	An external Contract Administrator was appointed to work alongside	
	the client-side project team.	
8. Stakeholders	Residents and other key stakeholders were engaged with	
	extensively throughout the design, development, and delivery	
	stages. The contractor appointed RLO was instrumental in ensuring	
	that residents were kept informed and engaged throughout the	
	delivery stages. A satisfaction survey result of 86% was achieved.	

## **Variation Review**

9. Assessment	The project was delayed by a variety of factors which have been
of project	detailed earlier in this report. This has highlighted the critical need
against key	for appropriate technical support (both at pre and post contract
milestones	stage of projects), in addition to adequate internal resourcing.
10. Assessment	The initial scope allowed for patch repairs to balcony flooring.
of project	However, during the on-site surveys the extent of repairs was
against Scope	significantly more extensive than originally anticipated. A more cost-
	effective longer-term solution was agreed to replace all balcony
	flooring with a cold pour system with a life expectancy of 15 years.
	This was intended to avoid the likelihood and added expense of
	having to undertake future ad-hoc reactive repairs. Additional work
	was also required to repair the timber frames to the dormer windows
	on the top floor of the blocks. During the onsite surveys (prior to

	works commencing), it was noted that a significant number of the	
	balcony doors did not have weather boards, which meant that the	
	fenestration designs had to be amended.	
11.Risks and	The majority of risks were fully mitigated and there were no	
issues	unidentified risks or major issues, other than those noted in section	
	3. No CRP was utilised.	
12. Transition to	The deliverables were executed as planned and the out turn was	
Business As	that residents were satisfied with the standard of workmanship, and	
Usual	the manner in which the contractor engaged with them. Following	
	the conclusion of the defects liability period, responsibility for the	
	ongoing maintenance of these units has been successfully	
	transferred to the general R&M contractor.	

#### **Value Review**

<u>value Review</u>			
13. Budget	Estimated Outturn Cost (G2)	Estimated cost (inc Estimated cost (ex £63,000	,
	•	) flats). This project a	2012 and estimates only also included Blocks B & C
		At Authority to Start work (G5)	Final Outturn Cost
	Consultancy Fees	£37,487	£55,318.35
	Staff Costs	£37,487	£31,797.00
	Works	£1,499,468	£1,554,214.48
	Expenditure up to G5 (Fees and Staff costs)	£23,742	£
	Costed Risk Provision	£N/A	£N/A
	Total	£1,598,184	£1,641,329.83
		by a suitably expe	an independent verification erienced officer within the
14.Investment	N/A		
15. Assessment of project against	Windows and balcony sets have all been replaced with modern double glazed window systems, incorporating overarch Vent Trex extract fans to kitchen and bathroom windows.		
SMART objectives	<ul><li>2. All balcony floors were completely replaced with a Proteus waterproof system with a 15 year life expectancy.</li><li>3. The works were completed with a high resident satisfaction score (86%).</li></ul>		
	4. The new window acoustic conditio	,	improved the thermal and

16.Key benefits realised	Windows and balcony windows and doors were replaced with high performance units as planned as well as a waterproof balcony floor
	system with a 15 year lifecycle.

## **Lessons Learned and Recommendations**

17.Positive reflections	Works were completed to a high standard and the contractor performed well. The works were positively received by residents, resulting in a satisfaction score of 86%.	
18.Improvement	Lessons learned have been recorded and will be referenced	
reflections	on future projects of a similar nature.	
19. Sharing best practice	<ol> <li>Dissemination of key information through team and project staff briefings.</li> <li>Lessons learned have been logged and recorded on departmental SharePoint.</li> </ol>	
20.AOB	None	

## **Appendices**

Appendix 1	Project Coversheet	
------------	--------------------	--

### **Contact**

Report Author	Jason Crawford
Email Address	Jason.Crawford@cityoflondon.gov.uk
Telephone Number	07710 760 344