

PT4 - Committee Procurement Report

This document is to be used to identify the Procurement Strategy and Purchasing Routes associated with a project and only considers the option recommended on the associated Gateway report.

Introduction

Author:	Michael Harrington		
Project Title:	Concrete testing & repairs – Barbican Estate, Golden Lane Estate & Middlesex Street Estate.		
Summary of Goods or Services to be sourced A planned programme of concrete repairs, based on the outcomes of the recently completed testing contracts to the Barbican, Golden Lane and Middlesex Street Estates.			
Contract Duration:	TBC	Contract Value:	£2,275,000
Stakeholder information			
Project Lead & Contract Manager: David Downing	Category Manager: Michael Harrington		Lead Department: DCCS - Housing
Other Contact		Department	

Specification Overview

Summary of the Specification: Repair residential blocks and car parks at the Barbican Estate, Golden Lane Estate and Middlesex Street Estate.
Project Objectives: To ensure the programme is delivered and repairs to the recommended schedule id delivered.

Customer Requirements

Target completion date	TBC	Target Contract award date	June 2017
Are there any time constraints which need to be taken into consideration? None			

Efficiencies Target with supporting information	
This will be split up into 2 lots, ensuring that we can engage with smaller organisations, who may not be able to deliver both the estates, but will still provide a high quality job.	

City of London Initiatives

How will the Project meet the City of London's Obligation to
Adhere to the Corporation Social Responsibility: N/A
Take into account the London Living Wage (LLW): Yes
Consideration for Small to Medium Enterprises (SME): Yes
Other:

Procurement Strategy Options

Option 1: Framework
Advantages to this Option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quicker engagement with the market. Pre-vetted suppliers on the framework.
Disadvantages to this Option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less engagement with SME's Larger Suppliers will subcontract the work as opposed to having employees working directly on the project.
Please highlight any possible risks associated with this option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The quality of the service and works carried out could be lower than expected.
Option 2: OJEU (2 lots)
Advantages to this Option:

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full exposure to the market. • Tried and test route to market
Disadvantages to this Option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiple tenders could be received and could be admin heavy. • Extended timeframes to deliver the contract award, due to process.
Please highlight any possible risks associated with this option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased admin • Increased timeframes Both resulting in more delay to the delivery.
Option 3: Sub-OJEU Tender via Capital eSourcing (2 Lots)
Advantages to this Option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allows us to engage with the market as a whole. • Allows the City to build the specification it requires and work to the timescales it requires. • Allows us to engage with SME's as opposed to using a framework, which stereotypically have larger suppliers appointed to them.
Disadvantages to this Option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will take longer to engage with the market. • Tender may be seen as too much of a strain on resources for parties to participate.
Please highlight any possible risks associated with this option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No guarantee of the quality of responses returned. • Responses could possibly be over OJEU threshold.

Procurement Strategy Recommendation

City Procurement team recommended option
Option 3 – Sub-OJEU Tender Via Capital eSourcing – Split into 2 lots.

Procurement Route Options

Make v buy to be considered; also indicate any discarded or radical options

Option 1: Open Tender
Advantages to this Option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open to all parties registered within Capital eSourcing. • Wide Range of suppliers able to access the tender.
Disadvantages to this Option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High volumes of responses would result in admin heavy evaluations
Please highlight any possible risks associated with this option: Increased workloads because of the popularity of the tender during evaluation, causing slippage in the programme.
Option 2: Select List
Advantages to this Option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduced admin
Disadvantages to this Option: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-Compliant
Please highlight any possible risks associated with this option: Contradicts the City's policy on procurement

Procurement Route Recommendation

City Procurement team recommended option
Option 1 – Open Tender

Sign Off

Date of Report:	22/12/2016
Reviewed By:	David Downing
Department:	DCCS – Housing
Reviewed By:	Michael Harrington
Department:	Chamberlain's Department